



Determining the Effects of a Liquidity Sink in the Namibia Interbank Settlement System (NISS)

mibia 26 August 2022

by: Ms. Ester Ngwena





Introduction

- The 2008 financial crisis placed huge emphasis on central banks to understand liquidity behaviours of banks and how they would react to various stress scenarios and shocks as part of macroprudential analysis.
- From a domestic payment standpoint, it becomes imperative to determine how participants within the real time gross settlement system (RTGS) will react to liquidity risk exposures, because disruptions in their liquidity sources could threaten the stability of the National Payment System and entire economy.
- In addition, the Namibia Interbank Settlement System (NISS) as a designated Financial Market Infrastructure (FMI) must perform stress tests as required by the Principles for Financial Market Infrastructures (PFMI) to ensure that the NISS remains efficient, resilient and effective under severe plausible stress conditions as part of risk management.
- In this regard, the Bank of Namibia (the Bank) undertook a study to assess the impact of a liquidity sink stress condition to NISS participants using the Bank of Finland Payment and Settlement System Simulator (BoF- PSS3 Simulator).
- A "*Liquidity Sink*" stress condition was introduced using historical NISS data for the month of August 2021.



Overview of the NISS



- NISS is an RTGS system owned and operated by the Bank of Namibia.
- The NISS operates daily except on Sundays and public holidays.
- Facilitates domestic settlement of single high-value transactions and batched low value interbank transactions.
- The NISS has 9 participants namely 8 authorised commercial banks and the central bank.
- There are 3 liquidity facilities available to participants that is the settlement account, the Bank's intraday and overnight credit facilities.
- The NISS is connected to 1 automated clearing house, which operates the interbank card switch and interbank electronic funds transfer system as well as clears such transactions for settlement in the NISS.
- Settlement of single transactions in the NISS takes place in real time on a gross basis, while batch settlement is on a gross level but deferred basis.
- In case that a NISS participants has insufficient funds but has obligations to be paid within the batch, the entire batch will fail and discard, thereby also affecting the transactions of all participants within the same batch.



- NISS input data comprised of participant data, transaction volumes and values, daily settlement account balances and daily collateral balances.
- The data covered **25 business day** which excluded Sundays and public holidays.
- The liquidity sink scenario stress simulation was performed using the BoF-PSS3 Simulator.
- The liquidity sink scenario limits participants from making both gross and bulk payments to other participants in the NISS.
- Hypothetically, the liquidity sink scenario may result due to plausible challenges such as operational disruptions, bankruptcy, the liquidation of a participant, network problems, or fire eruptions leading to emergency evacuations at the participant's premises, among others.
- The output data includes the liquidity bounds indicators, direct and systemic effects as well as the liquidity deterioration indicators.
- The liquidity sink scenario is applied to each participant; however, the results of the central bank are not considered.



Liquidity Sink Scenario

Figure 1: Liquidity sink diagram



Failing Participant 1 liquidity sink rules: 1.Unable to make payments 2.Cannot use settlement account balance 3. Cannot use credit limits



Assumptions

- It is assumed that the NISS participants are only limited to their settlement account balance and credit limits to fulfil payment obligations.
- NISS participants **do not have access to the minimum reserve requirement facility**.
- Each day is treated as a separate event date when applying the liquidity sink scenario.
- Each participant is subjected to the liquidity sink separately.



Results





Figure 4: Available liquidity vis-à-vis the required liquidity in the benchmark

Bank of Namibia

Results











Table 1 Sent Unsettled Systemic Effect Value Averages

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Grand Total
1		43,828	12,191,468	448,702	15,147,484	21,362	6,093,020	6,478,770	352,604	5,097,155
2	102		12,191,468	448,702	15,147,484	21,362	6,093,020	6,478,770	352,604	5,091,689
3	0	0		0	249,291	0	54,398	0	352,604	82,037
4	102	43,828	12,191,468		15,147,484	21,362	6,093,020	6,478,770	352,604	5,041,080
5	32,405	249,266	52,872,200	31,970,426		2,766,091	18,745,621	41,001,193	747,795	18,548,125
6	102	43,828	12,191,468	448,702	15,147,484		6,093,020	6,478,770	352,604	5,094,497
7	102	43,828	12,191,468	448,702	15,147,484	21,362		6,478,770	352,604	4,335,540
8	102	62,550	22,408,910	22,925,954	28,624,724	34,899	10,815,283		747,103	10,702,441
9	102	43,828	12,074,361	448,702	14,898,193	21,362	6,038,622	6,478,770		5,000,493
Grand Total	4,127	66,370	18,539,101	7,142,486	14,938,704	363,475	7,503,250	9,984,227	451,315	6,554,784





Table 2 Maximum Liquidity Deterioration Averages

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Grand Total
1		45,548	12,209,785	468,464.87	15,245,753	21,362	6,094,591	6,495,106	352,604	5,140,010
2	2,702		12,539,746	474,023	15,632,329	21,362	6,297,633	6,782,994	352,921	5,262,964
3	337,673	180,557		102,222,301	263,657,728	55,697	46,989,730	131,409,694	614,122	68,183,438
4	1,737	283,264	84,469,985		267,334,627	38,725	68,343,476	195,350,172	552,556	80,651,260
5	73,491	538,179	265,021,405	264,631,725		7,493,925	80,894,921	270,395,259	1,167,862	111,277,096
6	102	44,149	12,821,301	1,049,697	19,140,281		6,467,483	8,840,419	352,793	6,089,528
7	582	325,411	62,182,583	101,933,327	88,443,693	25,877		82,452,734	418,617	41,972,853
8	1,246	140,443	140,644,909	136,095,393	271,728,676	75,780	154,108,052		789,903	87,948,050
9	102	43,828	12,096,743	511,094	16,192,534	21,362	6,060,504	6,486,636		5,247,649
Grand Total	52,204	200,172	75,248,307	77,462,494	119,671,953	969,261	46,907,049	88,526,627	576,238	45,667,501



Results

Figure 6: Direct Effects



Figure 7: Systemic Effects



Bank of Namibia



- Majority of the NISS participants maintain sufficient settlement account balances during various liquidity sink scenarios.
- The NISS participants are critical towards each other from a batch settlement perspective.
- The Settlement System Operator has default procedures and offers contingency services to address
 possible liquidity sink scenarios.



Challenges and Limitations

- The Simulator assigns the same value of a failed batch transaction to all the participants in that particular batch which prohibits the Bank from obtaining the exact liquidity deterioration position for each participant in the batch.
- The end of day credit limits data output from the Simulator does not mirror the NISS due to the formula
 used by the Simulator.
- Failed transactions on a particular day in the NISS are not included in the transaction data loaded in the Simulator to execute the benchmark simulation.
- The study is **limited to one month's data**.
- Limited in-depth simulation and stress test skills in the Bank.





Recommendations

- The paper recommends the **mandatory pledging of collateral** by all the NISS participants.
- To reduce both adverse direct and systemic effects, the paper recommends that, in terms of batch settlement, for automated clearing house to first determine the settlement obligations in a batch and notify each participant before submission for settlement in the NISS.
- The paper recommends the strengthening of the NISS Business Continuity Procedures to avoid operational and technical failures that may lead to liquidity sink situations at participant level.
- Considering that the results are based on an analysis conducted for only one month, the paper recommends for frequent simulations and stress tests to ascertain the identified impact of a liquidity sink scenario in the NISS.





THANK YOU