

Non-Price Competitiveness of Exports from Emerging Countries

Konstantīns Beņkovskis, Latvijas Banka Julia Wörz, Oesterreichische Nationalbank

BOFIT Seminar August 14, 2012



Overview

- Drawbacks of traditional REER indicators
- Theoretical framework to measure non-price factors (disaggregated approach)
- From import to export prices
- Dynamics in price and non-price competitiveness in selected EME's
- Contribution of non-price factors in selected product sectors (Russia and China)
- Conclusions



Real Effective Exchange Rates

(CPI-based, 172 trading partners)



Source: Darvas, Z. 2012. Bruegel Working Paper 2012/06.



Evolution of World Market Shares (World market = 75 countries)

1999=100 Argentina 350 Brazil 300 -Chile 250 ---China 200 India 150 Indonesia 100 Mexico 50 0 - Turkey 2001 1999 2003 2005 2007 2009

Source: own calculations based on UN COMTRADE.

Motivation

- REERs bad approximation for export prices:
 - Whole economy, no distinction between domestic and external markets
 - Profit margins ignored
 - Structural issues are not captured (different export structures across countries)
 - important factors left aside (e.g. taste, image of brands)
- Importance of prices for competitiveness is decreased by:
 - Greater variety
 - Larger set of imported products
 - Higher valuation for / quality of traded products



Aim of the Paper

- Evaluate the price and non-price competitiveness of important emerging countries: Argentina, Brazil, Chile, China, India, Indonesia, Mexico, Russia, Turkey
- We developed an index that adjusts export prices for nonprice factors.



Literature

- Feenstra (AER 1994) and Broda and Weinstein (QJE 2006) incorporate changes in variety into a CES aggregate of import prices
- Benkovskis and Wörz (2011) extend this to incorporate
 - Changes in the product set
 - Changes in other non-price factors (quality / tastes)
- Relative quality becomes a function of observable unit values and volumes as well as unobservable elasticities of substitution between varieties and products
- This paper moves further to apply this methodology to export prices

Theoretical Framework Consumer's Utility Function

٠

First-level CES utility function (imports and domestic good)

$$U_{t} = \left(D_{t}^{\frac{\kappa-1}{\kappa}} + M_{t}^{\frac{\kappa-1}{\kappa}}\right)^{\frac{\kappa}{\kappa-1}}; \quad \kappa > 1$$

Second-level CES utility function (different imported goods) ٠



Third-level CES utility function (different varieties of a good) ٠

$$M_{gt} = \left(\sum_{c \in C} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_g} n_{gct}^{\frac{\sigma_g - 1}{\sigma_g}} n_{gct}^{\frac{\sigma_g - 1}{\sigma_g}}\right)^{\frac{\sigma_g}{\sigma_g - 1}}; \quad \sigma_g > 1$$

set of countries quality or taste elasticity of substitution between varieties

LATVIJAS BANKA **ONB**



Theoretical Framework Minimum Unit-Cost Function

After solving the utility maximization problem

$$\phi_{gt} = \left(\sum_{c \in C} d_{gct} p_{gct}^{1-\sigma_g}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma_g}}$$

> minimum unit-cost of import good g depends on price and quality

• The import price index for good *g* is defined as:

$$P_g = \frac{\phi_{gt}}{\phi_{gt-1}}$$

LATVIJAS BANKA



Theoretical Framework Adjusted Price Index

•

- Conventional price index: $P_g^{conv} = \prod_{c \in C_g} \left(\frac{p_{gct}}{p_{gct-1}} \right)^{r_g}$
 - Variety adjusted price index: (Broda&Weinstein, 2006) $P_g^{\text{var}} = \prod_{c \in C_g} \left(\frac{p_{gct}}{p_{gct-1}} \right)^{w_{gt}} \left(\frac{\lambda_{gt}}{\lambda_{gt-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma_g - 1}}$ Non-price factors adjusted price index: λ_g
- Non-price factors adjusted price index: (Benkovskis&Wörz, 2011)

$$P_{g}^{nop} = \left(\frac{\sum_{c \in C_{g,t}} d_{gc,t} p_{gc,t}^{1-\sigma_{g}}}{\sum_{c \in C_{g,t-1}} d_{gc,t-1} p_{gc,t-1}^{1-\sigma_{g}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma_{g}}} = P_{g}^{conv} \left(\frac{\lambda_{g,t}}{\lambda_{g,t-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma_{g}-1}} \prod_{c \in C_{g}} \left(\frac{d_{gc,t}}{d_{gc,t-1}}\right)^{\frac{w_{gc,t}}{1-\sigma_{g}}}$$

λ_{gt}	$= \frac{\sum_{c \in I_g} p_{gct} x_{gct}}{\sum_{c \in I_{gt}} p_{gct} x_{gct}}$
-t-1 =	$\frac{\sum_{c \in I_g} p_{gct-1} x_{gct-1}}{\sum_{gct-1} x_{gct-1}}$

 $c \in I_{gt-}$



Theoretical Framework How to Estimate Non-Price Factors

- Non-price parameters (i.e. quality/taste) are unobservable
- But can be decomposed into relative prices and relative quantity (= observables)
- It is possible to assess quality within the same theoretical framework – consumer maximization problem:

relative prices (UVX) relative quantities

$$\ln\left(\frac{d_{gct}}{d_{gkt}}\right) = \sigma_g \ln\left(\frac{p_{gct}}{p_{gkt}}\right) + \ln\left(\frac{x_{gct}}{x_{gkt}}\right) \xleftarrow{\text{(kg)}}{\text{benchmark country}}$$

Relative quality of variety depends on relative prices, volumes and the elasticity of substitution between varieties



Theoretical Framework

Estimation of Elasticities

- Elasticity of substitution between products calibrated: γ = 2
- Elasticity of substitution between varieties estimated from system of equations (Broda&Weinstein, 2006):
 - Relative demand equation:

$$\frac{\Delta \ln s_{gct}}{\Delta \ln s_{gkt}} = -(\sigma_g - 1)\frac{\Delta \ln p_{gct}}{\Delta \ln p_{gkt}} + \varepsilon_{gct}$$

– Relative supply equation:

$$\frac{\Delta \ln p_{gct}}{\Delta \ln p_{gkt}} = \frac{\omega_g}{1 + \omega_g} \frac{\Delta \ln s_{gct}}{\Delta \ln s_{gkt}} + \delta_{gct}$$



Database and Coverage

- UN COMTRADE
- Import data for 75 importers and exporters:
 - 96% of global imports in 2010
 - Focus on 9 EMEs
- 6-digit HS classification level (> 5000 products)
- 1999 to 2010, annual data

LATVIJAS BANKA



Estimation of Elasticities

	Median elasticity	Median mark- up
USA	5.72	21.2 %
Germany	7.53	15.3 %
UK	5.72	21.2 %
Italy	6.81	17.2 %
France	7.14	16.3 %
Canada	9.64	11.6 %
Japan	6.67	17.6 %
Russia	6.35	18.7 %
China	6.67	17.6 %





From Import to Export Prices

- Work with mirror image trade flow: expenditure for imports of good $g_{c,t} = exports$ of good $g_{c,t}$
- Competitiveness always relative: compare price index of a particular exporter $k \in c$ to all competitors:

$$RXP_{gkt} = \frac{\phi_{gt}^{k} / \phi_{gt-1}^{k}}{\phi_{gt}^{-k} / \phi_{gt-1}^{-k}} = \frac{(p_{gkt} / p_{gkt-1})(d_{gkt} / d_{gkt-1})^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma_{g}}}}{\phi_{gt}^{-k} / \phi_{gt-1}^{-k}}$$

- ϕ_{gt}^{k} minimum unit-cost of *g*, exported only by country *k* - ϕ_{gt}^{-k} minimum unit-cost of *g*, exported by all countries except *k*



From Import to Export Prices

• Plugging our non-price adjusted import price index P_{gt}^{nop} into the relative export price index, we get:

$$RXP_{gct} = \prod_{c \in C_g^{-k}} \left(\frac{p_{gkt}}{p_{gct}} \frac{p_{gct-1}}{p_{gkt-1}} \right)^{w_{gct}^{-k}} \left(\frac{\lambda_{gt}^{-k}}{\lambda_{gt-1}^{-k}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma_g}} \prod_{c \in C_g^{-k}} \left(\frac{d_{gkt}}{d_{gct}} \frac{d_{gct-1}}{d_{gkt-1}} \right)^{\frac{w_{gct}^{-k}}{1-\sigma_g}}$$

- 1. Traditional relative price index, *increase* = worsening price *competitiveness*
- 2. Changes in monopoly power of exporters ('variety'), *increase = more partner countries*
- 3. Changes in non-price factors (quality/taste), *increase = fall in relative quality/taste*

Non-Price Competitiveness BRICs







LATVIJAS BANKA

ØNB

Non-Price Competitiveness Selected EMEs

Argentina 1999 = 100P_conv P_var P_nop

1999=100



Mexico



LATVIJAS BANKA



Non-Price Competitiveness





Russia







LATVIIAS BANKA

ENB

Contribution of Non-Price Factors to Competitiveness



Source: own calculations.

Note: A positive value implies that non-price factors contribute positively to competitiveness.

ENB

LATVIIAS BANKA

Contribution of Non-Price Factors China





Source: own calculations.

Note: A positive value implies that non-price factors contribute positively to competitiveness.

Contribution of Non-Price Factors Russia





Source: own calculations.

Note: A positive value implies that non-price factors contribute positively to competitiveness.



Results

- The traditional competitiveness measures based on REER show mostly losses in price competitiveness for EMEs
 - Can be explained by catching-up related price convergence
 - But does it reflect "ability to sell" of those countries?
- If we allow for changes in non-price factors and for different trade structures most EMEs show improved competitiveness
 - Strong impact of non-price factors in China, but also in Brazil, Russia (related to oil exports) and Turkey
 - Dominating role of oil exports for some countries (Russia, Indonesia, but also Argentina)
 - Clear losses in competitiveness in Mexico



Concluding Remarks

- Correcting for changes in "quality/taste" and taking account of differences in trade structures appears to be important.
- Our index combines price and quantity information.
- Importance of non-price factors is related to degree of market power:
 - Monopolistic competition: quantities dominate (i.e. market shares become a good indicator of competitiveness)
 - Perfect competition: prices dominate
- Hence, estimation of elasticities of substitution is crucial!
- Further advantage of this application: no need to specify a benchmark product/exporter as is the case for an import price index.