Examining the Balance between Risk and Efficiency in Canada's LVTS: A Simulation Approach

by Neville Arjani

Discussant: Frode Øverli

Questions posed and key results

- Does a tradeoff exist between intraday liquidity and settlement risk in LVTS for T2 payments?
 - Yes, the simulation results suggest that such a tradeoff exists
- Can this tradeoff be improved if restrictions on usage of the LVTS central queue are lifted?
 - Yes, this trade-off can be improved with more central queuing

Some observations

 The LVTS seems to operate with high liquidity and low settlement delay

 Settlement participants weigh delay costs against liquidity costs

 The time-criticality of payments is likely to be a key determinant of settlement delay costs

...Continued

- How such payments are dealt with might also have an important bearing on simulation results (e.g. on the "real" required liquidity levels)
- Especially relevant if many payments are timecritical (and if such payments account for an increasing share of payments flows)
- Some more discussion or analysis of time-critical payments would be interesting

...Continued

 Simulation results show that central queuing reduces settlement delays most at relatively low levels of liquidity

 Have other optimisation features been checked at low liquidity levels, e.g. splitting of payments (just for curiousity!)?

Policy issues

- What are the potential implications of allowing unrestricted use of the LVTS central queue?
 - Behavioural responses are key in evaluating the relative merits of unrestricted central queuing
 - Lower settlement delays from earlier payments submissions are matched by lower expected bilateral credit limits among participants and the potentially greater credit risks associated with queue transparency
 - Might some sort of coordination arrangement enhance the benefits of central queuing?
 - Could new systemic vulnerabilities arise in cases where one bank fails to settle?

...Continued

- Simulation results suggest that with only a marginal increase in settlement risk, substantial collateral cost-savings can be achieved
- Introducing unrestricted central queuing might not be motivated as much by achieving lower settlement delays as by banks' desire to economise on collateral costs
- More discussion or analysis of actual collateral costs and potential savings would be interesting

Some concluding observations

- Some issues related to behavioural aspects and time-critical payments
- Suggestions for further investigation seem relevant
- Very interesting paper!