Entrepreneurship, "Financiership", and Selection

Tuomas Takalo Bank of Finland

Otto Toivanen HECER, University of Helsinki

BOF-CEPR 2.11.2006

In a nutshell

- We first take a standard model of entrepreneurs, outside investors and adverse selection
- Then we remove outside investors so that
 - all agents need to choose whether they invest their wealth as entrepreneurs or financiers
- We check out whether this mitigates adverse selection problem
 - It does

Motivation

- Huge literature has identified adverse selection as a major problem in financial markets
 - financial markets may collapse
 - equilibria that exist typically Pareto-inefficient
- In practice, financial markets exist and seem to work

- What kind of selection?
 - Adverse vs. advantageous?
- If adverse, when, and what are the policy implications?
- What is the role of initial wealth and storage technology?

- Massive evidence that insufficient wealth holds back entrepreneurship
 - presumably, due to adverse selection

 \Rightarrow massive subsidies to entrepreneurship and their finance

• However, basic models yield excessive entrepreneurship and negative correlation between wealth and entrepreneurship

Main results

- Markets can be efficient simply because low types prefer financiership to entrepreneurship
- Wealth constraints not necessarily bad for the efficiency of financial markets
- ⇒ opening up financial markets to outside investors can reduce efficiency
- ⇒ insufficient entreprenerial wealth is not a reason to subsidize entrepreneurship or their finance

2. Related literature

- Partial equilibrium models of financial markets with asymmetric information: Stiglitz & Weiss 1981, De Meza & Webb, 1987
 - Assume entrepreneurs with a project but without funds; financiers with funds but without a project
 - The role of entrepreneurial wealth: De Meza & Webb, 1999
- An exception: Boyd & Prescott, 1986, with a genuine choice between entrepreneurship and financiership
 - Their decentralized market a special case

- Credit and macroeconomy:
 - Wealth effects: Bernanke & Gertler 1989, 1990, Holmström & Tirole 1997
 - Storage technology and economy-wide wealth constraints: Holmström & Tirole 1998, Caballero & Krishnamurthy, 2001
 - Endogenous liquidity & adverse selection over business cycle: Eisfeldt, 2004; House, 2006.
 - Financial liberalization: Aghion et al. 2004, Giannetti 2005

3. The Standard Model

- A unit mass of risk-neutral entrepreneurs
- Each endowed with wealth A > 0 and a project that needs I>A
- Proportion h of entrepreneurs high types whose project succeeds with probability p_H and yields R_H
- Proportion 1- h low types whose project succeeds with probability p_L and yields R_L
 - $p_H R_H > I > p_L R_L$ and $R_L > R_H$

- Unlimited entry by rich outside investors
- Agent type private information
- Initial wealth and project success are verifiable
- Perfect storage technology

Timing of events:

- 1) Entrepreneurs choose whether to raise funds from outside or resort to storage
- 2) Entrepreneurs and financiers contract upon finance
- 3) Entrepreneurs execute their projects
- 4) Successful entrepreneurs compensate financiers. Consumption takes place

- Financial markets emerge if entrepreneurs' and financiers' IR constraints hold
- Entrepreneurs' IR constraints:

- H-types:
$$\pi_{H}^{e} \equiv p_{H}(R_{H} - R_{B}) \geq A$$

- L-types:
$$\pi_L^e \equiv \rho_L(R_L - R_B) \ge A$$

• R_B = the cost of capital, given by financiers' IR

• financiers' IR (zero-profit condition):

$$\overline{p}R_B = I - A$$

where

$$\overline{p} = p_{H} \quad \text{in the separating equilibrium}$$

$$\overline{p} = hp_{H} + (1 - h) p_{L} \quad \text{in the pooling equilibrium}$$

$$\overline{p} = \frac{hp_{H} + \mu_{L}(1 - h)p_{L}}{h + \mu_{L}(1 - h)} \quad \text{in the semi-separating equilibrium}$$

where μ_L = proportion of L-type entrepreneurs



A

4. The model without outside investors

Timing of events:

- 1) Agents choose whether to invest as entrepreneurs or financiers, or resort to storage.
 - stages 2-4 as before

- Financial markets emerge if
 - agents' IR and IC constraints hold
 - demand and supply of funds are balanced (both amount and price)

Definition: An economy is **wealth constrained**, if the aggregate initial wealth is insufficient for all H-type projects



1) Entrepreneurs' IRs

- H-types:
$$\pi_H^e \equiv p_H(R_H - R_B) \geq R_F$$

- L-types:
$$\pi_L^e \equiv p_L(R_L - R_B) \ge R_F$$

where R_F = return on capital (invested as a financier)

2) Financiers' IR: $\pi^f \equiv R_F \ge A \equiv \pi^s$

3) Agents' IC: $\pi_i^{j} \ge \pi_i^{k} \forall i, j, k, i \in \{H, L\}, j, k \in \{e, f, s\}, j \neq k$

4) Demand and supply of funds (amount) :

 $(I-A)[\mu_{H}h + \mu_{L}(1-h)] = A[(1-\mu_{H}-\chi_{H})h + (1-\mu_{L}-\chi_{L})(1-h)]$

5) Payments from entrepreneurs to financiers:

 $R_{B}[\mu_{H}hp_{H} + \mu_{L}(1-h)p_{L}] = R_{F}[(1-\mu_{H}-\chi_{H})h + (1-\mu_{L}-\chi_{L})(1-h)]$

- μ_i = proportion of type *i* entrepreneurs
- χ_i = proportion of type *i* agents using storage technology

Example: $H^{e}L^{ef}$ ($\mu_{H}=1$, $0 < \mu_{L} < 1$, $\chi_{H}=\chi_{L}=0$)

- 1) $R_F > A$
- Both types participate
- 2) $P_H(R_H R_B) > R_F$
- H-types prefer entrepreneurship to becoming a financier
- 3) $P_L(R_L R_B) = R_F$
- L-types indifferent

- 4) $(I-A)[h+\mu_L(1-h)]=A(1-\mu_L)(1-h)$
- Aggregate supply of funds = aggregate demand

5) $R_B[hp_H + \mu_L(1-h)p_L] = R_F(1-\mu_L)(1-h)$

- interest charged from borrowers = interest paid to financiers
- \Rightarrow solve (1)-(5) to see where the equilibrium exist and what are endogenous variables R_B , R_F , μ_L

4) & 5) \Rightarrow R_B & R_F are linked

⇒ changes in exogenous parameters A, I, h, p_i will have an impact on both sides of IRs and ICs

E.g., *A* ↑

$$\Rightarrow$$
 $R_B \downarrow \Rightarrow R_F \downarrow$

 \Rightarrow entrepreneurship more attractive and financiership less attractive

 $\Rightarrow \mu_L \uparrow$



More generally: potentially 6 types of equilibria

Table 1TYPES OF EQUILIBRIA

| | $\mu_L = 0$ | $0 < \mu_L < 1$ | $\mu_L = 1$ |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| $\mu_H = 0$ | AUTARKY | Not possible | Not possible |
| $0 < \mu_H < 1$ | $H^{ef}L^{f}$ | H ^{ef} L ^{ef} | H ^{ef} L ^e |
| $\mu_H = 1$ | H ^e L ^{fs} | H ^e L ^{ef} , H ^e L ^{efs} | Not possible |

5. Findings

Efficiency:

- Low and high initial wealth like in the standard model
- Intermediate wealth: Pareto-efficiency if wealth constraints
- funds are scarce \Rightarrow the interest rate increases and bad projects become unattractive and financiership attractive
- \Rightarrow financial liberalization bad for economies with intermediate initial wealth
- \Rightarrow increasing liquidity can reduce efficiency



A



Storage technology:

- Generally less used without outside investors
- Assume A depreciates (inflation) with rate $1-\delta$, let $\delta \rightarrow 0$
- ⇒ the efficient equilibrium in non-wealth constrained economies vanishes but little other effects
- ⇒ financial markets alone can take care of asset transformation



6. Conclusion

- Under private information, simple financial markets (even without storage) can work pretty well because of advantageous selection
- Financial liberalization or increases in liquidity can be harmful with intermediate initial wealth
- Insufficient wealth can hold back entrepreneurship and that is
 - efficient if lot of liquidity
 - inefficient if liquidity is scarce