Discussion of "The Demographic Deficit" by Tom Cooley and Espen Henriksen

Per Krusell

IIES, Stockholm University

BoF Conference, 2017



Slower TFP growth and falling real interest rates globally Potential explanations for slower TFP growth: Slower TFP growth and falling real interest rates globally Potential explanations for slower TFP growth:

We don't try to invent any more or we are not successful at inventing any more.

Potential explanations for slower TFP growth:

- We don't try to invent any more or we are not successful at inventing any more.
- Our inventions are not increasing GDP (poor measurement or benefits not included in GDP).

Potential explanations for slower TFP growth:

- We don't try to invent any more or we are not successful at inventing any more.
- Our inventions are not increasing GDP (poor measurement or benefits not included in GDP).
- Systematic trends in mismeasurements of other inputs (capital and labor).

Potential explanations for slower TFP growth:

- We don't try to invent any more or we are not successful at inventing any more.
- Our inventions are not increasing GDP (poor measurement or benefits not included in GDP).
- Systematic trends in mismeasurements of other inputs (capital and labor).

Potential explanations for slower TFP growth:

- We don't try to invent any more or we are not successful at inventing any more.
- Our inventions are not increasing GDP (poor measurement or benefits not included in GDP).
- Systematic trends in mismeasurements of other inputs (capital and labor).

Potential drivers of falling real interest rate:

Demographic change.

Potential explanations for slower TFP growth:

- We don't try to invent any more or we are not successful at inventing any more.
- Our inventions are not increasing GDP (poor measurement or benefits not included in GDP).
- Systematic trends in mismeasurements of other inputs (capital and labor).

- Demographic change.
- Rise in inequality.

Potential explanations for slower TFP growth:

- We don't try to invent any more or we are not successful at inventing any more.
- Our inventions are not increasing GDP (poor measurement or benefits not included in GDP).
- Systematic trends in mismeasurements of other inputs (capital and labor).

- Demographic change.
- Rise in inequality.
- Emerging markets' current account surpluses.

Potential explanations for slower TFP growth:

- We don't try to invent any more or we are not successful at inventing any more.
- Our inventions are not increasing GDP (poor measurement or benefits not included in GDP).
- Systematic trends in mismeasurements of other inputs (capital and labor).

- Demographic change.
- Rise in inequality.
- Emerging markets' current account surpluses.
- ► Falling relative price of capital goods.

Potential explanations for slower TFP growth:

- We don't try to invent any more or we are not successful at inventing any more.
- Our inventions are not increasing GDP (poor measurement or benefits not included in GDP).
- Systematic trends in mismeasurements of other inputs (capital and labor).

- Demographic change.
- Rise in inequality.
- Emerging markets' current account surpluses.
- ► Falling relative price of capital goods.
- Lower public investment.

Potential explanations for slower TFP growth:

- We don't try to invent any more or we are not successful at inventing any more.
- Our inventions are not increasing GDP (poor measurement or benefits not included in GDP).
- Systematic trends in mismeasurements of other inputs (capital and labor).

- Demographic change.
- Rise in inequality.
- Emerging markets' current account surpluses.
- ► Falling relative price of capital goods.
- Lower public investment.
- Higher demand for safe assets...

Mechanisms:

Mechanisms:

 More old people: they are less productive and work less. Output lower.

Mechanisms:

- More old people: they are less productive and work less. Output lower.
- More old people: fewer savers relative to dissavers (leads to higher interest rate), but (i) higher saving if longer lives and (ii) higher capital-labor ratio lowers interest rate.

Mechanisms:

- More old people: they are less productive and work less. Output lower.
- More old people: fewer savers relative to dissavers (leads to higher interest rate), but (i) higher saving if longer lives and (ii) higher capital-labor ratio lowers interest rate.

 ${\sf Approach}:$ 

Mechanisms:

- More old people: they are less productive and work less. Output lower.
- More old people: fewer savers relative to dissavers (leads to higher interest rate), but (i) higher saving if longer lives and (ii) higher capital-labor ratio lowers interest rate.

 ${\sf Approach}:$ 

Focus: U.S. and Japan.

Mechanisms:

- More old people: they are less productive and work less. Output lower.
- More old people: fewer savers relative to dissavers (leads to higher interest rate), but (i) higher saving if longer lives and (ii) higher capital-labor ratio lowers interest rate.

 $\mathsf{Approach}$ :

- ► Focus: U.S. and Japan.
- Growth accounting, suggesting mismeasurement of labor input (labor input is lower than measured).

Mechanisms:

- More old people: they are less productive and work less. Output lower.
- More old people: fewer savers relative to dissavers (leads to higher interest rate), but (i) higher saving if longer lives and (ii) higher capital-labor ratio lowers interest rate.

 ${\sf Approach}:$ 

- ► Focus: U.S. and Japan.
- Growth accounting, suggesting mismeasurement of labor input (labor input is lower than measured).
- Model that explains hours: less hours, in quantity as well as efficiency units.

Mechanisms:

- More old people: they are less productive and work less. Output lower.
- More old people: fewer savers relative to dissavers (leads to higher interest rate), but (i) higher saving if longer lives and (ii) higher capital-labor ratio lowers interest rate.

 ${\sf Approach}:$ 

- ► Focus: U.S. and Japan.
- Growth accounting, suggesting mismeasurement of labor input (labor input is lower than measured).
- Model that explains hours: less hours, in quantity as well as efficiency units.

My summary: it's primarily about hours.

### Comments on growth accounting

### Comments on growth accounting

Slowdown in TFP is there also when h is measured properly.

### Comments on growth accounting

Slowdown in TFP is there also when h is measured properly.

Slowdown in TFP, more generally, is not easy to explain with measurement problems.

► Closed, neoclassical economy.

- Closed, neoclassical economy.
- ► OG, accidental bequests, redistributed to everyone.

- Closed, neoclassical economy.
- ► OG, accidental bequests, redistributed to everyone.
- Stochastic aging, wage-age profile, idiosyncratic productivity: OG version of Aiyagari with valued leisure.

- Closed, neoclassical economy.
- ► OG, accidental bequests, redistributed to everyone.
- Stochastic aging, wage-age profile, idiosyncratic productivity: OG version of Aiyagari with valued leisure.
- Utility function (commuting cost is leisure lost):

$$u(c,h) = \log c + \chi \frac{(1 - h - \theta_j I_{h>0})^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}$$

- Closed, neoclassical economy.
- ► OG, accidental bequests, redistributed to everyone.
- Stochastic aging, wage-age profile, idiosyncratic productivity: OG version of Aiyagari with valued leisure.
- Utility function (commuting cost is leisure lost):

$$u(c,h) = \log c + \chi \frac{(1 - h - \theta_j I_{h>0})^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}$$

Frisch elasticity depends on h but "leisure Frisch" constant (governed by  $\gamma$  only).

### Historical data U.S.



Figure 1: U.S. hours per worker

Source: Average weekly hours data for 1830-80: Whaples (1990, Table 2.1). 1890-1970: Historical Statistics of the United States: Colonial Times to 1970 (Series D765 and D803). 1970-2015: Statistical Abstract of the United States the number for nonfarm establishments. This graph shows an updates series of the data in Greenwood and Vandenbroucke (2008). Regressing the log of hours on a constant and year gives a slope coefficient of -0.00315 in the full sample (and -0.00208 for the years 1970-2015).

Can this data be matched?

#### Can this data be matched?

Yes, with very closely related utility function, if  $\sigma > 1$ :

$$u(c,h) = \frac{c^{1-\sigma} - 1}{1-\sigma} - \chi_{ij} \frac{h^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}.$$

#### Can this data be matched?

Yes, with very closely related utility function, if  $\sigma > 1$ :

$$u(c,h) = \frac{c^{1-\sigma} - 1}{1-\sigma} - \chi_{ij} \frac{h^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}.$$

Option to create extensive choice:  $h \in \{0\} \cup [\underline{h}, 1]$  (pure non-convexity—no explicit cost).

 Dynasty vs. no bequests (or imperfect altruism): implications for interest rate.

- Dynasty vs. no bequests (or imperfect altruism): implications for interest rate.
- Complete markets vs. incomplete markets: implications for interest rate.

- Dynasty vs. no bequests (or imperfect altruism): implications for interest rate.
- Complete markets vs. incomplete markets: implications for interest rate.
- Steady-state comparisons.

- Dynasty vs. no bequests (or imperfect altruism): implications for interest rate.
- Complete markets vs. incomplete markets: implications for interest rate.
- Steady-state comparisons.
- Closed economy.

• Effective hours input lower, lower GDP.

- Effective hours input lower, lower GDP.
- Interest rate?

- Effective hours input lower, lower GDP.
- Interest rate?
- Connection?