Discussion of "A Model of Secular Stagnation: Theory and Quantitative Evaluation"

by Gauti Eggertsson, Neil Mehrotra and Jacob Robbins

Michaela Schmöller

Aalto University & European Central Bank ¹

Bank of Finland / CEPR conference on Demographics and the Macroeconomy

Helsinki, 12 October 2017

Michaela Schmöller

Discussion: Eggertsson, Mehrotra & Robbins

October 12, 2017 1 / 13

Introduction

- Revived debate on secular stagnation (Hansen, 1938) in light of weak recoveries following Great Recession
- This paper: Formal analysis of secular stagnation:
 - Model capable of generating permanent stagnation, characterized by low interest rates, output below potential and deflation
 - Quantitative assessment of the key drivers of secular stagnation
 - Policy analysis in an environment of secular stagnation
- Very important paper with highly relevant policy implications

Summary & key contributions

Part 1: 3-period OLG model

- Young (borrowing constrained), middle age and old
- Key triggers of secular stagnation: Slowdown in productivity, demographics, deleveraging
- Policy analysis: Appropriately designed fiscal policy; raising the inflation target (but: multiplicity)

Summary & key contributions

Part 2: Quantitative Lifecycle Model

- Large-scale model which incorporates the key ingredients and channels of the OLG model
- Demonstrates that secular stagnation is quantitatively a feasible outcome
- Decomposition of the decrease in interest rates according to key contributing factors
- Allows for comparative statics and transitional dynamics

The making of secular stagnation

- Potential triggers: Tightening of collateral constraint, lower population/ productivity growth
- ZLB and downward constraint on wages bind
- Local determinacy



Policy implications: Raising the inflation target



- Full employment steady state
- Locally indeterminate steady state at full employment with i=0 and $\Pi < \Pi^*$
- Secular stagnation steady state persists

Michaela Schmöller

Policy implications: Effect of fiscal policy



- $\bullet\,$ Fiscal policy can rule out the stagnation equilibrium $\to\,$ favorable as opposed to changes in inflation target
- But: Positive effect hinges on the design and financing of fiscal policy

Quantitative lifecycle model: Key elements

- Population growth
- Mortality risk
- Bequest motive
- Borrowing constraint
- CES production function in labor and capital
- Downward nominal rigidity, Taylor rule with ZLB constraint
- Calibration to the US economy

Decomposition of the decline of the natural rate

Forcing variable	Δ in r	% of total Δ
Total interest rate change	-4.02%	100%
Mortality rate	-1.82	43%
Total fertility rate	-1.84	43%
Productivity growth	-1.90	44%
Government debt (% of GDP)	+2.11	-49%
Labor share	52	12%
Relative price of investment goods	-0.44	10%
Change in debt limit	+.13	-3%

Table 6: Decomposition of decline in natural rate of interest: 1970-2015

- Key drivers: demographic factors
- Counter-balancing force: increase in government spending
- Corresponding decomposition for the Euro Area?

Transition path



- Model can generate a decline in interest rates from 1970 to 2015
- Strong assumption on agents' expectations (perfect foresight)

Transition path



- Predicts more rapid decline than observed in the data
- Summers (2014): Tech and housing boom may have masked the pre-crisis decrease in interest rates
- Potential remedy: exogenously fluctuating constraint (future research?)

Raising the natural rate?

Forcing variable	2015 Value	Counterfactual value
Total fertility rate	1.88	3.28
Government debt (% of GDP)	118%	215%
Productivity growth	0.65%	2.43%
Relative price of investment goods	1.00	2.43

Table 7: Raising the natural rate of interest to 1%

- Very large changes required to raise the natural rate
- No self-restoring forces

Conclusion

- Very important contribution on understanding potential mechanisms of secular stagnation
- From the view point of the model: No inherent drivers to revert the economy to full employment
- Call for policy intervention:
 - Fiscal policy and increases of inflation target in the OLG model
 - Validity in lifecycle model?
- Looking forward to reading more on the topic in the future!