

Information, heterogeneity and market incompleteness by Liam Graham and Stephen Wright

Comments by Jouko Vilmunen (BoF)

Bank of Finland Workshop
May 15-16, 2008

May 14, 2008

- Wonderful paper, enjoyed reading it
 - testing endurance, however: main text 36 pages, appendices 34 pages.
- Covers a rich set of issues on information, market incompleteness and macro dynamics
 - optimization by heterogenously informed rational agents (state estimation, expectations formation etc).
 - higher order expecations (hierarchy of expectations)
 - aggregation (market specific shocks, aggregate shocks)
 - aggregate dynamics (differences between realized shocks and different averages of expected shocks important)
 - certainty equivalence only in special cases
 - (impact) response of private consumption markedly different from the full info case
 - fragile result? (return to this later)
- Policy experiments? Policy conclusions? .

Outline

- Model
- Results
- Comments
- Conclusions

- Neoclassical growth model.

- Neoclassical growth model.
- Economy divided into S separate islands (Lucas 1973, 1975)

- Neoclassical growth model.
- Economy divided into S separate islands (Lucas 1973, 1975)
 - capital flows freely between islands (economywide capital markets)

- Neoclassical growth model.
- Economy divided into S separate islands (Lucas 1973, 1975)
 - capital flows freely between islands (economywide capital markets)
 - labour island-specific (no labour mobility across islands)

- Neoclassical growth model.
- Economy divided into S separate islands (Lucas 1973, 1975)
 - capital flows freely between islands (economywide capital markets)
 - labour island-specific (no labour mobility across islands)
 - capital the only vehicle for intertemporal smoothing (market incompleteness)

- Neoclassical growth model.
- Economy divided into S separate islands (Lucas 1973, 1975)
 - capital flows freely between islands (economywide capital markets)
 - labour island-specific (no labour mobility across islands)
 - capital the only vehicle for intertemporal smoothing (market incompleteness)
 - rational, intertemporally optimizing agents

- Neoclassical growth model.
- Economy divided into S separate islands (Lucas 1973, 1975)
 - capital flows freely between islands (economywide capital markets)
 - labour island-specific (no labour mobility across islands)
 - capital the only vehicle for intertemporal smoothing (market incompleteness)
 - rational, intertemporally optimizing agents
 - island-specific and aggregate shocks

- Neoclassical growth model.
- Economy divided into S separate islands (Lucas 1973, 1975)
 - capital flows freely between islands (economywide capital markets)
 - labour island-specific (no labour mobility across islands)
 - capital the only vehicle for intertemporal smoothing (market incompleteness)
 - rational, intertemporally optimizing agents
 - island-specific and aggregate shocks
 - signal extraction problem: agents use local info to infer/estimate the state of the economy

- Neoclassical growth model.
- Economy divided into S separate islands (Lucas 1973, 1975)
 - capital flows freely between islands (economywide capital markets)
 - labour island-specific (no labour mobility across islands)
 - capital the only vehicle for intertemporal smoothing (market incompleteness)
 - rational, intertemporally optimizing agents
 - island-specific and aggregate shocks
 - signal extraction problem: agents use local info to infer/estimate the state of the economy
 - endogenous Kalman filter (Baxter, Graham and Wright 2007)

- Neoclassical growth model.
- Economy divided into S separate islands (Lucas 1973, 1975)
 - capital flows freely between islands (economywide capital markets)
 - labour island-specific (no labour mobility across islands)
 - capital the only vehicle for intertemporal smoothing (market incompleteness)
 - rational, intertemporally optimizing agents
 - island-specific and aggregate shocks
 - signal extraction problem: agents use local info to infer/estimate the state of the economy
 - endogenous Kalman filter (Baxter, Graham and Wright 2007)
 - explicitly modeled higher order expectations (technique from Nimark 2007)

- Neoclassical growth model.
- Economy divided into S separate islands (Lucas 1973, 1975)
 - capital flows freely between islands (economywide capital markets)
 - labour island-specific (no labour mobility across islands)
 - capital the only vehicle for intertemporal smoothing (market incompleteness)
 - rational, intertemporally optimizing agents
 - island-specific and aggregate shocks
 - signal extraction problem: agents use local info to infer/estimate the state of the economy
 - endogenous Kalman filter (Baxter, Graham and Wright 2007)
 - explicitly modeled higher order expectations (technique from Nimark 2007)
- Robustness check: introducing a public signal

Results

- A rich set of results

- A rich set of results
 - the punchline probably is, however, that informational heterogeneity (with incomplete markets) affects macroeconomic dynamics in a nontrivial way

- A rich set of results
 - the punchline probably is, however, that informational heterogeneity (with incomplete markets) affects macroeconomic dynamics in a nontrivial way
- Nice decomposition of consumption of household s , c_t^s

$$c_t^s = \underbrace{\eta_W^* \mathbb{E}_t^s W_t^s}_{\text{CE}} + \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k \mathbb{E}_t^s \left[a_t - a_t^{(k)} \right]}_{\text{Deviations from CE}} \quad (1)$$

- A rich set of results
 - the punchline probably is, however, that informational heterogeneity (with incomplete markets) affects macroeconomic dynamics in a nontrivial way
- Nice decomposition of consumption of household s , c_t^s

$$c_t^s = \underbrace{\eta_W^* \mathbb{E}_t^s W_t^s}_{\text{CE}} + \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k \mathbb{E}_t^s \left[a_t - a_t^{(k)} \right]}_{\text{Deviations from CE}} \quad (1)$$

- \therefore Hierarchy of expectations (higher order expectations) play an important role

- A rich set of results
 - the punchline probably is, however, that informational heterogeneity (with incomplete markets) affects macroeconomic dynamics in a nontrivial way
- Nice decomposition of consumption of household s , c_t^s

$$c_t^s = \underbrace{\eta_W^* \mathbb{E}_t^s W_t^s}_{\text{CE}} + \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k \mathbb{E}_t^s \left[a_t - a_t^{(k)} \right]}_{\text{Deviations from CE}} \quad (1)$$

- \therefore Hierarchy of expectations (higher order expectations) play an important role
 - anticipated deviations of the actual aggregate productivity shock from the hierarchy of expectations concerning the productivity shock, $\left[a_t^{(1)}, a_t^{(2)}, \dots, a_t^{(k)}, \dots \right]$, key driver underlying deviations from CE

- Benchmark case: complete markets and complete info

$$c_t^s = c_t^* = \eta_{\zeta}^* \zeta_t \quad (2)$$

ie. individual (and aggregate) consumption depends only on the aggregate state ζ_t

- Full info coupled with incomplete markets results in individual consumption depending also on idiosyncratic states

$$c_t^s = \eta_W^* W_t^s \quad (3)$$

with the dependence on aggregate state being the same as in the complete market case

- However, in the latter case **aggregate** consumption is identical to the full info, complete market case

$$\frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^S c_t^s | \Omega_t^* = \eta_{\zeta}^* \zeta_t \quad (4)$$

- Under incomplete info and incomplete market, the state vector of an individual hh includes also the hierarchy of expectations $[W_t^{(1)}, W_t^{(2)}, \dots, W_t^{(k)}, \dots]$
 - results from the fact that hhs must forecast aggregate consumption, which leads to "infinite regress of expectations" (Townsend 1983) \Leftarrow compelling argument, I think!
- If idiosyncratic shocks are nontrivial (ie. pos. variance), incomplete info & incomplete market economy can never replicate the full info economy (Prop 3)
 - deviations from full info economy always transitory (ie. also when shocks to aggregate technology permanent)
- Once the pr distribution of the idiosyncratic prod shock degenerates (to a single point) the economy approaches the complete market economy; asymptotically, as $t \rightarrow \infty$ the entire history of returns (to capital) becomes informationally redundant (Cor 1); ie. market incompleteness only matters when hhs differ from each other

- On impact hh estimates of aggregate capital stock fall; hh and aggregate consumption lower than under full info, if idiosyncratic productivity is sufficiently, but not too persistent (Prop 4)
 - reflects the properties of optimal filtering in that forecasts of states are less volatile than actual states; hence, hh estimate of aggr productivity responds less to shocks than actual productivity
- CE allocations can be sustained in two separate cases; homogenous hhs ($\sigma_z \rightarrow 0$) and extremely heterogenous hhs ($\sigma_z \rightarrow \infty$)
 - in the latter case the economy is effectively segmented into two distinct blocks with returns providing info on aggr block and the wage on idiosyncratic block; each hh knows others will update their estimates in the same way (Ass 2) so that the hierarchy of exp is known to everybody

- Standard NC growth model; a positive shock to aggregate productivity increases (on impact) private consumption
 - Fig 1 shows that consumption instead fall on impact in an economy with incomplete info and incomplete markets
 - the intuition is that not only aggregate returns but also (idiosyncratic) wages increase due to the shock to aggr productivity; hence agent revise downwards their previous state estimates, which revision drives consumption down on impact
- Sensitivities: parameters of the distributions of the two shocks important as they affect the informational problem (filtering) the most; also the Frisch elasticity of labour supply is important
- Question: reductions in the threshold value for the persistence of idiosyncratic prod requires implausible parameter values?

- Negative impact effect on consumption of aggregate technology shock fairly robust to changes in the persistence of the shocks (Table 3)
- Only if the "signal to noise ratio" (σ_a/σ_z) is sufficiently large will consumption increase on impact when aggr prod shock hits the economy (Table 4)
- Negative impact response of consumption stronger under more (Frisch) elastic labour supply
 - intuition: higher elasticity implies larger increases in hh hours as they observe positive innovations to returns and wages; as all hh respond identically to a shock to aggr prod, aggr hours increase more with more elastic hh labour supply, so returns increase more, which leads, through optimal filtering, to larger downward revision in the state of the economy and, consequently, consumption.
- Introducing a noisy public signal can overturn the result about the negative impact response of consumption to aggr prod shock
 - more likely so as the precision in the public signal increases

Comments

- I have basically two main comments

Comments

- I have basically two main comments
 - 1 The island structure to model information imperfections

- I have basically two main comments
 - ① The island structure to model information imperfections
 - ② Fragility of the results to (reasonable?) changes in the structure of information sets or available information

- I have basically two main comments
 - ① The island structure to model information imperfections
 - ② Fragility of the results to (reasonable?) changes in the structure of information sets or available information
 - particularly, what happens to the underlying signal extraction problem as we incorporate noisy signals into the model?

- I have basically two main comments
 - ① The island structure to model information imperfections
 - ② Fragility of the results to (reasonable?) changes in the structure of information sets or available information
 - particularly, what happens to the underlying signal extraction problem as we incorporate noisy signals into the model?
- The way the info structure is updated in the GW paper differs from Lucas's

- I have basically two main comments
 - 1 The island structure to model information imperfections
 - 2 Fragility of the results to (reasonable?) changes in the structure of information sets or available information
 - particularly, what happens to the underlying signal extraction problem as we incorporate noisy signals into the model?
- The way the info structure is updated in the GW paper differs from Lucas's
 - in Lucas all info is shared among agents between periods \implies all agents have the same prior about the expected aggregate price change

- I have basically two main comments
 - 1 The island structure to model information imperfections
 - 2 Fragility of the results to (reasonable?) changes in the structure of information sets or available information
 - particularly, what happens to the underlying signal extraction problem as we incorporate noisy signals into the model?
- The way the info structure is updated in the GW paper differs from Lucas's
 - in Lucas all info is shared among agents between periods \implies all agents have the same prior about the expected aggregate price change
 - in GW model no such information sharing occurs

- I have basically two main comments
 - ① The island structure to model information imperfections
 - ② Fragility of the results to (reasonable?) changes in the structure of information sets or available information
 - particularly, what happens to the underlying signal extraction problem as we incorporate noisy signals into the model?
- The way the info structure is updated in the GW paper differs from Lucas's
 - in Lucas all info is shared among agents between periods \implies all agents have the same prior about the expected aggregate price change
 - in GW model no such information sharing occurs
- \therefore This means that since all hhs solve a similar signal extraction problem before setting consumption, it also becomes relevant for each hh to form higher order expectations, ie. expectations of average expectations, and so on

- Either way, however, given that agents in any economy interact with each other so actively, the assumption the economy consists of informationally separated islands does not seem particularly plausible

- Either way, however, given that agents in any economy interact with each other so actively, the assumption the economy consists of informationally separated islands does not seem particularly plausible
 - Lucas's original contribution (informational foundations of the Phillips curve) has been criticized along these lines

- Either way, however, given that agents in any economy interact with each other so actively, the assumption the economy consists of informationally separated islands does not seem particularly plausible
 - Lucas's original contribution (informational foundations of the Phillips curve) has been criticized along these lines
- In GW labour is island specific and this feature persists over time

- Either way, however, given that agents in any economy interact with each other so actively, the assumption the economy consists of informationally separated islands does not seem particularly plausible
 - Lucas's original contribution (informational foundations of the Phillips curve) has been criticized along these lines
- In GW labour is island specific and this feature persists over time
 - I do not find this particular feature of the model very convincing/plausible, sorry!

- Either way, however, given that agents in any economy interact with each other so actively, the assumption the economy consists of informationally separated islands does not seem particularly plausible
 - Lucas's original contribution (informational foundations of the Phillips curve) has been criticized along these lines
- In GW labour is island specific and this feature persists over time
 - I do not find this particular feature of the model very convincing/plausible, sorry!
 - my preference is over information structures that dynamically provides agents with more info on eg. aggregate shocks, something like in Carroll's "Epidemiology of Macroeconomic Expectations"

- Either way, however, given that agents in any economy interact with each other so actively, the assumption the economy consists of informationally separated islands does not seem particularly plausible
 - Lucas's original contribution (informational foundations of the Phillips curve) has been criticized along these lines
- In GW labour is island specific and this feature persists over time
 - I do not find this particular feature of the model very convincing/plausible, sorry!
 - my preference is over information structures that dynamically provides agents with more info on eg. aggregate shocks, something like in Carroll's "Epidemiology of Macroeconomic Expectations"
- That the negative impact response of consumption to aggr prod shocks goes away once you introduce a (sufficiently precise) public signal about the output suggests that this result on the response of consumption is fragile

- Either way, however, given that agents in any economy interact with each other so actively, the assumption the economy consists of informationally separated islands does not seem particularly plausible
 - Lucas's original contribution (informational foundations of the Phillips curve) has been criticized along these lines
- In GW labour is island specific and this feature persists over time
 - I do not find this particular feature of the model very convincing/plausible, sorry!
 - my preference is over information structures that dynamically provides agents with more info on eg. aggregate shocks, something like in Carroll's "Epidemiology of Macroeconomic Expectations"
- That the negative impact response of consumption to aggr prod shocks goes away once you introduce a (sufficiently precise) public signal about the output suggests that this result on the response of consumption is fragile
 - I don't think this is specific to GW, but more general feature of the underlying filtering/signal extraction problem

- Introducing additional signal, although noisy ones, involves the possibility that the signal extraction problem becomes much, much less important for aggregate dynamics (in the context of deviations from the full info case)

- Introducing additional signal, although noisy ones, involves the possibility that the signal extraction problem becomes much, much less important for aggregate dynamics (in the context of deviations from the full info case)
 - it is as if the structure of the additional signal makes the signal extraction problem almost trivial

- Introducing additional signal, although noisy ones, involves the possibility that the signal extraction problem becomes much, much less important for aggregate dynamics (in the context of deviations from the full info case)
 - it is as if the structure of the additional signal makes the signal extraction problem almost trivial
 - if so, care should be exercised about how and what sort of additional signals we introduce into the model

- Introducing additional signal, although noisy ones, involves the possibility that the signal extraction problem becomes much, much less important for aggregate dynamics (in the context of deviations from the full info case)
 - it is as if the structure of the additional signal makes the signal extraction problem almost trivial
 - if so, care should be exercised about how and what sort of additional signals we introduce into the model
- That consumption response becomes more muted after introducing a noisy public signal reflects reduced Kalman gain or reduced precision in the estimates of the states

- Introducing additional signal, although noisy ones, involves the possibility that the signal extraction problem becomes much, much less important for aggregate dynamics (in the context of deviations from the full info case)
 - it is as if the structure of the additional signal makes the signal extraction problem almost trivial
 - if so, care should be exercised about how and what sort of additional signals we introduce into the model
- That consumption response becomes more muted after introducing a noisy public signal reflects reduced Kalman gain or reduced precision in the estimates of the states
 - fairly general feature of the optimal filtering problem á la Kalman

- Smaller things:

THANKS

- Smaller things:
 - a) I always thought persistence at the micro level is much less pronounced than at the macro level; assuming much less persistent idiosyncratic prod shock than aggr prod shock results in much smaller initial negative response on consumption to aggr prod shocks

THANKS

- Smaller things:
 - a) I always thought persistence at the micro level is much less pronounced than at the macro level; assuming much less persistent idiosyncratic prod shock than aggr prod shock results in much smaller initial negative response on consumption to aggr prod shocks
 - b) typos!

THANKS

- Smaller things:
 - a) I always thought persistence at the micro level is much less pronounced than at the macro level; assuming much less persistent idiosyncratic prod shock than aggr prod shock results in much smaller initial negative response on consumption to aggr prod shocks
 - b) typos!
- In conclusion, although I am somewhat critical about the way informational imperfections are built into the model, I think this an ambitious and methodologically very interesting exercise and, as the authors also suggest, may provide insights into some of the well known puzzles in macro and finance models that rely on the positive response of consumption to aggr prod shocks

THANKS