### EXIT STRATEGIES FROM QUANTITATIVE EASING: THE ROLE OF THE FISCAL-MONETARY POLICY MIX

Florencia S. Airaudo

Universidad Carlos III de Madrid June 2023

Bank of Finland and CEPR Joint Conference Monetary Policy in Times of Large Shocks

### Motivation

During the Great Recession, the Federal Reserve started to expand its Balance Sheet to stimulate the economy: Quantitative Easing (QE).



Figure 1: Central bank balance sheet in the US Source: US Financial Accounts. USD ZLB debt BS sec more

### Motivation

In COVID-19 crisis, treasuries in the Fed increased from 10 to 25% of GDP. Expansion in US debt-to-GDP  $\rightarrow 26\%$  held by the Fed.



Figure 2: Central bank balance sheet in the US

Source: US Financial Accounts. USD ZLB debt BS sec more

• Large public **debt-to-GDP** ratio and **central bank balance sheet**, with high **inflation** presents a new challenge for policymakers.

- Large public **debt-to-GDP** ratio and **central bank balance sheet**, with high **inflation** presents a new challenge for policymakers.
- Increases in the policy rate with balance sheet reduction, i.e. **Quan-titative Tightening (QT)** to stabilize inflation.

- Large public **debt-to-GDP** ratio and **central bank balance sheet**, with high **inflation** presents a new challenge for policymakers.
- Increases in the policy rate with balance sheet reduction, i.e. **Quantitative Tightening (QT)** to stabilize inflation.

"...I would just stress how uncertain the effect is of shrinking the balance sheet...",

J. Powell, Federal Reserve Chairman, press conference May 2022.

- Large public **debt-to-GDP** ratio and **central bank balance sheet**, with high **inflation** presents a new challenge for policymakers.
- Increases in the policy rate with balance sheet reduction, i.e. **Quan-titative Tightening (QT)** to stabilize inflation.

"...I would just stress how uncertain the effect is of shrinking the balance sheet...",

J. Powell, Federal Reserve Chairman, press conference May 2022.

#### This paper

Studies the impact of QT on inflation, sovereign debt, and interest rates, considering the interaction between fiscal-monetary policies

- Large public **debt-to-GDP** ratio and **central bank balance sheet**, with high **inflation** presents a new challenge for policymakers.
- Increases in the policy rate with balance sheet reduction, i.e. **Quan-titative Tightening (QT)** to stabilize inflation.

"...I would just stress how uncertain the effect is of shrinking the balance sheet...",

J. Powell, Federal Reserve Chairman, press conference May 2022.

### This paper

Studies the impact of QT on inflation, sovereign debt, and interest rates, considering the interaction between fiscal-monetary policies

 $\rightarrow$  Contribution! Literature

Macroeconomic effects of QT

- ▶ Central bank reduces purchases of (or sells) government bonds
- $\blacktriangleright \downarrow$  price of government bonds
- $\blacktriangleright$   $\downarrow$  central bank profits,  $\uparrow$  debt service,  $\uparrow$  public debt

Macroeconomic effects of QT

- ▶ Central bank reduces purchases of (or sells) government bonds
- $\blacktriangleright \downarrow$  price of government bonds
- $\blacktriangleright$   $\downarrow$  central bank profits,  $\uparrow$  debt service,  $\uparrow$  public debt

### It is key how the central bank and the fiscal authority coordinate to stabilize public debt

- ▶ The government adjust primary fiscal surplus: Monetary-led regime
- ▶ The central bank allows inflation rate to adjust: Fiscally-led regime

Macroeconomic effects of QT

- ▶ Central bank reduces purchases of (or sells) government bonds
- $\downarrow$  price of government bonds
- $\blacktriangleright$   $\downarrow$  central bank profits,  $\uparrow$  debt service,  $\uparrow$  public debt

### It is key how the central bank and the fiscal authority coordinate to stabilize public debt

- ▶ The government adjust primary fiscal surplus: Monetary-led regime
- ▶ The central bank allows inflation rate to adjust: Fiscally-led regime
- Regime-switching NK-DSGE model calibrated to the US economy

Macroeconomic effects of QT

- ▶ Central bank reduces purchases of (or sells) government bonds
- $\blacktriangleright \downarrow$  price of government bonds
- $\blacktriangleright$   $\downarrow$  central bank profits,  $\uparrow$  debt service,  $\uparrow$  public debt

### It is key how the central bank and the fiscal authority coordinate to stabilize public debt

- ▶ The government adjust primary fiscal surplus: Monetary-led regime
- ▶ The central bank allows inflation rate to adjust: Fiscally-led regime
- Regime-switching NK-DSGE model calibrated to the US economy
- Simulate the COVID-19 crisis, the policy response, QT under different **regimes** more

• Macroeconomic effects of QT depend on the policy regime

- Macroeconomic effects of QT depend on the policy regime
  - ▶ Monetary-led regime: QT decreases inflation
  - ▶ Fiscally-led regime: QT increases public debt and spreads with little impact on inflation

- Macroeconomic effects of QT depend on the policy regime
  - ▶ Monetary-led regime: QT decreases inflation
  - ▶ Fiscally-led regime: QT increases public debt and spreads with little impact on inflation

Why?

- Macroeconomic effects of QT depend on the policy regime
  - ▶ Monetary-led regime: QT decreases inflation
  - ▶ Fiscally-led regime: QT increases public debt and spreads with little impact on inflation

Why?

- QT:  $\downarrow$  price of government bonds
  - $\textcircled{O} Substitution, wealth effect \longrightarrow recessive and deflationary$
  - $\textcircled{0} \uparrow \textbf{public debt}$

- Macroeconomic effects of QT depend on the policy regime
  - ▶ Monetary-led regime: QT decreases inflation
  - ▶ Fiscally-led regime: QT increases public debt and spreads with little impact on inflation

Why?

- QT:  $\downarrow$  price of government bonds
  - $\textcircled{O} Substitution, wealth effect \longrightarrow recessive and deflationary$
  - $\textbf{2} \uparrow \textbf{public debt}$ 
    - ★ Monetary-led regime:  $\uparrow$  public debt  $\longrightarrow \uparrow$  taxes
    - ★ Fiscally-led regime:  $\uparrow$  public debt  $\longrightarrow \uparrow$  inflation

Regime-Switching NK-DSGE model:

• Agents: firms, households (HH), financial intermediaries (FI), fiscal authority, central bank

- Agents: firms, households (HH), financial intermediaries (FI), fiscal authority, central bank
- Short-term public bonds/reserves:  $B_t^S$ , 1 period maturity, price  $Q_t^S$ Long-term public bonds:  $B_t^L$ , with maturity  $1/\delta$ , price  $Q_t^L$  (more

- Agents: firms, households (HH), financial intermediaries (FI), fiscal authority, central bank
- Short-term public bonds/reserves:  $B_t^S$ , 1 period maturity, price  $Q_t^S$ Long-term public bonds:  $B_t^L$ , with maturity  $1/\delta$ , price  $Q_t^L$  more Deposits  $D_t$  in FI

- Agents: firms, households (HH), financial intermediaries (FI), fiscal authority, central bank
- Short-term public bonds/reserves:  $B_t^S$ , 1 period maturity, price  $Q_t^S$ Long-term public bonds:  $B_t^L$ , with maturity  $1/\delta$ , price  $Q_t^L$  more Deposits  $D_t$  in FI
- Financial Frictions: market segmentation, PAC in HH, and leverage constraint in FI, as in Chen at al., 2012, Elenev et al., 2021

- Agents: firms, households (HH), financial intermediaries (FI), fiscal authority, central bank
- Short-term public bonds/reserves:  $B_t^S$ , 1 period maturity, price  $Q_t^S$ Long-term public bonds:  $B_t^L$ , with maturity  $1/\delta$ , price  $Q_t^L$  more Deposits  $D_t$  in FI
- Financial Frictions: market segmentation, PAC in HH, and leverage constraint in FI, as in Chen at al., 2012, Elenev et al., 2021
- Monetary policy
  - ► Conventional: Taylor rule for short-term interest rate
  - ▶ **QE:** buys long-term public bonds from HH, issues reserves to FI

Regime-Switching NK-DSGE model:

- Agents: firms, households (HH), financial intermediaries (FI), fiscal authority, central bank
- Short-term public bonds/reserves:  $B_t^S$ , 1 period maturity, price  $Q_t^S$ Long-term public bonds:  $B_t^L$ , with maturity  $1/\delta$ , price  $Q_t^L$  more Deposits  $D_t$  in FI
- Financial Frictions: market segmentation, PAC in HH, and leverage constraint in FI, as in Chen at al., 2012, Elenev et al., 2021
- Monetary policy
  - ▶ Conventional: Taylor rule for short-term interest rate
  - ▶ **QE:** buys long-term public bonds from HH, issues reserves to FI
- Fiscal rule for taxes

Airaudo, F. S. (UC3M)

Regime-Switching NK-DSGE model:

- Agents: firms, households (HH), financial intermediaries (FI), fiscal authority, central bank
- Short-term public bonds/reserves:  $B_t^S$ , 1 period maturity, price  $Q_t^S$ Long-term public bonds:  $B_t^L$ , with maturity  $1/\delta$ , price  $Q_t^L$  more Deposits  $D_t$  in FI
- Financial Frictions: market segmentation, PAC in HH, and leverage constraint in FI, as in Chen at al., 2012, Elenev et al., 2021
- Monetary policy
  - ► Conventional: Taylor rule for short-term interest rate
  - ▶ **QE:** buys long-term public bonds from HH, issues reserves to FI
- Fiscal rule for taxes

Airaudo, F. S. (UC3M)

### Policy rules Rule for QE:

$$\frac{B_t^{L,CB}}{P_t} = b_t^{L,CB} = (1 - \rho^{QE})b_*^{L,CB} + \rho^{QE}b_{t-1}^{L,CB} + \sigma^{QE}\epsilon_t^{QE}$$

# Policy rules

Rule for QE:

$$\frac{B_{t}^{L,CB}}{P_{t}} = b_{t}^{L,CB} = (1 - \rho^{QE})b_{*}^{L,CB} + \rho^{QE}b_{t-1}^{L,CB} + \sigma^{QE}\epsilon_{t}^{QE}$$

Taylor rule and fiscal rule for conventional policies:

$$\frac{R_t}{\bar{R}} = \left(\frac{R_{t-1}}{\bar{R}}\right)^{\alpha_R} \left[ \left(\frac{\pi_t}{\pi^*}\right)^{\alpha_\pi} \left(\frac{y_t}{y^*}\right)^{\alpha_y} \right]^{1-\alpha_R} e^{\sigma_M \epsilon_t^M}$$
$$\tau_t - \tau^* = \rho_\tau \left(\tau_{t-1} - \tau^*\right) + (1 - \rho_\tau) \gamma \left(b_{t-1} - b^*\right)$$

# Policy rules

Rule for QE:

$$\frac{B_{t}^{L,CB}}{P_{t}} = b_{t}^{L,CB} = (1 - \rho^{QE})b_{*}^{L,CB} + \rho^{QE}b_{t-1}^{L,CB} + \sigma^{QE}\epsilon_{t}^{QE}$$

Taylor rule and fiscal rule for conventional policies:

$$\frac{R_t}{\bar{R}} = \left(\frac{R_{t-1}}{\bar{R}}\right)^{\alpha_R} \left[ \left(\frac{\pi_t}{\pi^*}\right)^{\alpha_\pi} \left(\frac{y_t}{y^*}\right)^{\alpha_y} \right]^{1-\alpha_R} e^{\sigma_M \epsilon_t^M}$$
$$\tau_t - \tau^* = \rho_\tau \left(\tau_{t-1} - \tau^*\right) + (1 - \rho_\tau) \gamma \left(b_{t-1} - b^*\right)$$

Regime switching parameters as in Bianchi and Melosi, 2017 Policy regimes Transition Calibration

- Monetary-led regime (M): high  $\alpha_{\pi}, \gamma$
- **2** Fiscally-led regime (F): low  $\alpha_{\pi}$ ,  $\gamma$

**3** ZLB regime: 
$$\bar{R} \approx 1, \alpha_{\pi} = \gamma = 0$$

Airaudo, F. S. (UC3M)

### Solution and calibration strategy

- Solve the model through perturbation methods for Endogenous Markov Switching DSGE models, following Benigno et al., 2020.
- Calibration strategy:
  - Externally calibrated to the literature, or to match data moments (Quarterly data for the US, period 1980-2021) Mom Tablel shocks
  - ▶ Policy rules parameters, from Bianchi and Melosi, 2022 TableII
  - Transition probabilities: Ergodic distribution from Bianchi and Melosi, 2022 TableIII

### Model: Transmission mechanism of QE



Model diagram

### Model: Transmission mechanism of QE ${}_{\rm I\!R\!P}$



Model diagram

Airaudo, F. S. (UC3M)



Model diagram



Model diagram

•  $\downarrow Q_t^L$ , then the term spread increases



Model diagram

- $\downarrow Q_t^L$ , then the term spread increases
  - Wealth and substitution effect  $\longrightarrow$  recessive and deflationary



Model diagram

- $\downarrow Q_t^L$ , then the term spread increases
  - Wealth and substitution effect  $\longrightarrow$  recessive and deflationary
  - $\blacktriangleright$   $\downarrow$  central bank profits,  $\uparrow$  debt service,  $\uparrow$  **public debt**



Model diagram

- $\downarrow Q_t^L$ , then the term spread increases
  - $\blacktriangleright$  Wealth and substitution effect  $\longrightarrow$  recessive and deflationary
  - $\blacktriangleright \downarrow$  central bank profits,  $\uparrow$  debt service,  $\uparrow$  public debt
    - $\neq$  effects under different regimes
## The Crisis

Exit strategies from Quantitative Easing programs

- Simulate the COVID-19 crisis in the US:
  - ▶ 50.000 samples
  - Negative demand and supply shocks
  - ▶ Regime: stochastic at every period and sample

Exit strategies from Quantitative Easing programs

- Simulate the COVID-19 crisis in the US:
  - ▶ 50.000 samples
  - Negative demand and supply shocks
  - ▶ Regime: stochastic at every period and sample
- **QE program:** increase the central bank balance sheet-to-GDP by 13p.p., and compare dynamics with and without QE. QE ZLB

Exit strategies from Quantitative Easing programs

- Simulate the COVID-19 crisis in the US:
  - ▶ 50.000 samples
  - Negative demand and supply shocks
  - ▶ Regime: stochastic at every period and sample
- **QE program:** increase the central bank balance sheet-to-GDP by 13p.p., and compare dynamics with and without QE. QE ZLB
- 3 Study different exit strategies in the recovery Exit
  - Average simulation
  - Conditioning regime at the exit from ZLB

## The crisis: The effects of QE



#### Simulated crisis

Note: Average from 50.000 samples. Annualized variables. more

Airaudo, F. S. (UC3M)

Exit strategies from QE

June 2023

ZLB

## The crisis: The effects of QE



Airaudo, F. S. (UC3M)

Exit strategies from QE

June 2023

14/18

Unwinding the central bank balance sheet Study exit strategies in the recovery, from t=9: regimes average

- Tapering (T): Maintain the size around 20% of GDP
- Quantitative Tightening (QT): Do not repurchase the bonds that mature (unwind the balance sheet at speed  $\delta$ )
- Aggressive QT: sales of bonds (speed  $> \delta$ )



Crisis and exit strategies from QE. Note: Average from 50.000 samples.

# Unwinding the central bank balance sheet Monetary-led regime at exit. Plots since t = 8.



QT in the monetary-led regime more t5 CPI CPIM t11 RuleQE

- QT:  $\downarrow$  Inflation,  $\uparrow$  public debt
- Monetary-led regime:  $\uparrow$  public debt  $\longrightarrow \uparrow$  taxes

Airaudo, F. S. (UC3M)

Unwinding the central bank balance sheet Fiscally-led regime at exit. Plots since t = 8.



- QT:  $\downarrow$  Inflation,  $\uparrow$  public debt
- Fiscally-led regime:  $\uparrow$  public debt  $\longrightarrow \uparrow$  inflation

Airaudo, F. S. (UC3M)

## Final Remarks

- Macroeconomic effects of QT depend on Fiscal-Monetary policy mix
- In the Monetary-led regime: Decreases inflation
- In the Fiscally-led regime: Debt and spreads increase without helping to reduce inflation
- Without an appropriate fiscal framework to stabilize debt, there are no clear advantages of doing QT

# Thank you!

Feedback: fairaudo@eco.uc3m.es

# APPENDIX



#### Central bank

Assets	Liabilities
$\uparrow$ Treasuries	$\uparrow$ Reserves

#### Commercial bank

Assets	Liabilities
$\uparrow$ Reserves	$\uparrow$ Deposits
$\downarrow$ Treasuries	

#### Private non-bank

Assets	Liabilities
$\uparrow$ Deposits	
$\downarrow$ Treasuries	

Option 1: banks sell treasuries Option 2: private non-banks sell treasuries, increases liquidity

\_

Airaudo, F. S. (UC3M)

#### Motivation Dack



Figure 3: Central bank balance sheet in the US Source: US Financial Accounts and FRED.

#### Motivation: Central bank balance sheet



Central bank balance sheet to GDP Source: US Financial Accounts and FRED.

Airaudo, F. S. (UC3M)

#### Motivation: US data back



Source: FRED.

#### Motivation: US data back

• QE took place with a massive expansion in debt issuance



Figure 5: Net purchases of Treasuries. Source: US Financial Accounts. Billions of USD. Flows, net of revaluation effects.

Airaudo, F. S. (UC3M)

#### Motivation: US data Dack

QE increases different measures of money/liquidity: reserves, deposits



Figure 6: Macroeconomic data for US.

Debt, Reserves and Deposits, in trillions of dollars. Source deposits data: FRED. Source reserves: US financial accounts, release December 2021.

Airaudo, F. S. (UC3M)

#### Motivation: US data Dack



Debt purchases from FED, Reserves and Deposits, in trillions of dollars. Core inflation in %. Source deposits and inflation data: FRED. Source reserves and Debt purchases from FED: US financial accounts, release December 2021.

#### Motivation: US data back Debt/GDP in historically high levels



Figure 8: Annual Gross Federal Debt as a Percent of GDP. Source: FRED.

Airaudo, F. S. (UC3M)

#### Motivation: Central Bank's liabilities (back



Figure 9: Composition of Central Bank's liabilities, billions of dollars.

## Motivation: checkable deposits and currency (back)



Figure 10: Composition of Checkable deposits and currency, billions of dollars.

Airaudo, F. S. (UC3M)

# Motivation: Central Bank's Treasury purchases in perspective



Figure 11: Source: US Financial Accounts. Net Central Bank purchases of Treasuries (flows), billions of dollars back

Airaudo, F. S. (UC3M)

#### Historical regimes (back)

Subsample	Policy regime
1955:Q4-1957:Q1	Monetary-led
1957:Q2-1981:Q3	Fiscally-led
1981:Q4-2008:Q3	Monetary-led
2008:Q4-2015:Q4	ZLB
2016:Q1-2020:Q1	Monetary-led
2020:Q2-2022:Q1	ZLB

Note: Historical regimes according to Bianchi and Melosi, 2022

# Model appendix

#### Literature and contribution **Lack**

**Contribution:** Show that the impact of QT depends on how the public debt will be stabilized, i.e., through fiscal surpluses or inflation

 Interaction between fiscal and monetary policies: Bianchi and Melosi, 2017, Bianchi and Melosi, 2022, Leeper, 1991, Cochrane, 2021, Schmitt-Grohe, Uribe, et al., 2007.

#### Study central bank balance sheet policies.

- Central bank balance sheet policies:
  - ► Fiscal effects of QE:
    - Chen, Cúrdia, and Ferrero, 2012, Elenev et al., 2021, Reis, 2017.
  - Unwinding the Central Bank Balance Sheet:

G. Benigno and P. Benigno, 2022, Foerster, 2015.

Different configurations of fiscal-monetary policy interactions and regime-switches.

#### Households and firms (back

Households more

- ▶ Decide consumption and labor, pay lump-sum taxes  $(\tau_t)$
- ► Invest in:
  - ★ Deposits  $(D_t)$ : increase utility (MIUF)
- Preference shocks  $\nu_t$  in discount factor

Firms more

- Monopolistic competition
- ▶ Sticky prices: Rotemberg quadratic adjustment cost in prices
- ► Technology:  $y_{i,t} = z_t n_{i,t}$ , for  $i \in [0, 1]$ .  $z_t$  is a mean reverting TFP shock

## Financial Intermediaries (back)

- Issue deposits to Households  $(D_t)$
- Invest in short-term bonds and reserves  $(B_t^{S,I})$
- Maximize discounted expected net dividends paid to households
- Leverage constraint:

 $D_t \leq \tilde{\lambda} B_t^{S,I}$ 

 $0<\tilde{\lambda}<1$  represents the Supplementary Leverage Ratio (SLR)  $_{\odot}$ 

#### Monetary Authority **Dack**

• Conventional policy: sets the short-term nominal interest rate:

$$R_t \equiv \frac{1}{Q_t^S}$$

- Quantitative Easing: purchases long-term bonds  $B_t^{L,CB}$  from households, issuing reserves  $\underbrace{B_t^{S,CB}}_{<0}$  to financial intermediaries
- Budget constraint:

$$\Lambda_{t}^{CB} + \underbrace{Q_{t}^{S} \frac{B_{t}^{S,CB}}{P_{t}} + Q_{t}^{L} \frac{B_{t}^{L,CB}}{P_{t}}}_{=0} = \frac{B_{t-1}^{S,CB}}{P_{t}} + \frac{B_{t-1}^{L,CB}}{P_{t}} \left[\kappa + (1-\delta)Q_{t}^{L}\right]$$

 $\Lambda^{CB}_t$  are net profits, transferred to the fiscal authority  $\kappa$  coupon payment,  $\delta$  characterizes maturity

Airaudo, F. S. (UC3M)

## Fiscal Authority Dack

- Issues short-term debt  $B_t^S$ , and long-term debt  $B_t^L$
- Constant maturity composition: fraction  $\bar{\mu}$  is long-debt
- Consumes  $g_t$ :

$$g_t = \theta(y^* - y_t) + (1 - \rho_g)\bar{g} + \rho_g g_{t-1} + \sigma_g \varepsilon_t^g, \, \varepsilon_t^g \sim N(0, 1)$$

• Period budget constraint:

$$\tau_t - g_t + \underbrace{Q_t^S \frac{B_t^S}{P_t} + Q_t^L \frac{B_t^L}{P_t}}_{\text{real debt } b_t} + \Lambda_t^{CB} = \frac{B_{t-1}^S}{P_t} + \frac{B_{t-1}^L}{P_t} \left[ \kappa + (1-\delta)Q_t^L \right]$$

Household

• Choose consumption  $c_t$ , labor  $n_t$ , deposits  $D_t^H$ , and long-term bonds,  $B_t^{L,H}$  to solve:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{c_t, n_t, D_t^H, B_t^{L, H}} \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \nu_t U\left(c_t, \frac{D_t^H}{P_t}, n_t\right) \\ P_t c_t + Q_t^D D_t^H + B_t^{L, H} Q_t^L + \Phi_L\left(\frac{B_t^{L, H}}{P_t}\right) P_t &= W_t n_t + D_{t-1}^H + \cdots \\ &+ B_{t-1}^{L, H} \left[\kappa + (1-\delta)Q_t^L\right] + \Gamma_t - \tau_t P_t \\ D_t^H &\geq 0 \\ B_t^{L, H} &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

 $\Gamma_t$  are transfers from different agents in the economy,  $P_t$  price level  $\nu_t$  preference shock, AR(1).  $\tau_t$  real lump-sum tax

Long-term bonds return:  $\mathbb{E}_t R_{t,t+1}^L = \frac{\kappa + (1-\delta)Q_{t+1}^L}{Q_t^L}$  FOC FunForms Prices (back

#### Household

The Household's problem solution is characterized by:

$$\frac{-U_n\left(c_t, \frac{D_t^H}{P_t}, n_t\right)}{U_c\left(c_t, \frac{D_t^H}{P_t}, n_t\right)} = \frac{W_t}{P_t}$$
$$Q_t^D = \mathbb{E}_t \mathcal{M}_{t,t+1} + \frac{U_d\left(c_t, \frac{D_t^H}{P_t}, n_t\right) P_t}{U_c\left(c_t, \frac{D_t^H}{P_t}, n_t\right)}$$
$$Q_t^L + \Phi_L'\left(\frac{B_t^{L,H}}{P_t b_{L,H}}\right) \frac{1}{b^{L,H}} = \mathbb{E}_t \mathcal{M}_{t,t+1} \left[\kappa + (1-\delta)Q_{t+1}^L\right]$$

Where  $\mathcal{M}_{t,t+1}$  is the stochastic discount factor back

#### Households

 $\mathcal{M}_{t,t+1}$  is the stochastic discount factor between period t and t+1:

$$\mathcal{M}_{t,t+1} = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \frac{\nu_{t+1}}{\nu_t} \frac{\lambda_{t+1}}{\lambda_t} = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \frac{\nu_{t+1}}{\nu_t} \frac{U_c\left(c_{t+1}, \frac{D_{t+1}^H}{P_{t+1}}, n_{t+1}\right)}{U_c\left(c_t, \frac{D_t^H}{P_t}, n_t\right)} \frac{1}{\pi_{t+1}}$$

back

#### Final good firm

The optimization problem of the representative firm is the following:

$$\max_{\substack{y_t, \{y_{i,t}\}_{i \in [0,1]}}} P_t y_t - \int_0^1 P_{i,t} y_{i,t} di$$
  
s.t  $y_t = \left[ \int_0^1 y_{i,t}^{\frac{\varepsilon - 1}{\varepsilon}} di \right]^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon - 1}}$ 

Optimal demand function for variety i:

$$y_{i,t} = y_t \left(\frac{P_{i,t}}{P_t}\right)^{-\varepsilon}$$

 $\varepsilon$  is the elasticity of substitution between varieties (back

#### Intermediate goods producers

- Monopolistic competition
- Each firm produces variety i, for  $i \in [0, 1]$ , according to technology:

$$y_{i,t} = \mathbf{z_t} n_{i,t}$$

where  $z_t$  is a mean reverting TFP shock

• Sticky prices: Rotemberg quadratic adjustment cost in prices

$$\frac{\phi^P}{2} \left(\frac{P_{i,t}}{P_{i,t-1}} - \pi^*\right)^2 y_t$$

where  $y_t$  is aggregate output,  $\pi^*$  is inflation at the steady state back

## Intermediate goods producers

Optimization problem:

$$\max_{P_{i,t},n_{i,t}} \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{t,t+k} \Pi_{i,t+k}^f$$
s.t.  $y_{i,t} = z_t n_{i,t}$ 
 $y_{i,t} = y_t \left(\frac{P_{i,t}}{P_t}\right)^{-\varepsilon}$ 

$$\Pi_{i,t}^f = P_{i,t} y_{i,t} - W_t n_{i,t} - \frac{\phi^P}{2} \left(\frac{P_{i,t}}{P_{i,t-1}} - \pi^*\right)^2 P_t y_t$$
(1)

Define  $MC_t$  as the multiplier of the demand equation (1)

 $\mathcal{M}_{t,t+k}$  is the stochastic discount factor from Households  $\square$
### Intermediate good producer

I solve from a symmetric equilibrium where all the intermediate firms make the same decisions.

Optimization problem characterized by:

 $W_t = MC_t z_t$ 

and the Phillips' curve:

$$1 - \varepsilon + \varepsilon \frac{w_t}{z_t} = \phi^P \left( \pi_t - \pi^* \right) \pi_t - \phi \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \mathcal{M}_{t,t+1} \frac{y_{t+1}}{y_t} \left( \pi_{t+1} - \pi^* \right) \pi_{t+1}^2 \right]$$

back

# Financial Intermediaries

- Net worth at the beginning of period t:  $W_t^I$ . Equity:  $A_t$
- Invest in reserves and T-bills  $B_t^{S,I}$ , issues deposits  $D_t^I$
- Dividends to households:

$$Div_t = \tau^I W_t^I - A_t$$

• Balance sheet:

$$(1 - \tau^{I})W_{t}^{I} + A_{t} - \Phi_{A}(A_{t}) + Q_{t}^{D}D_{t}^{I} = Q_{t}^{S}B_{t}^{S,I}$$

• Wealth:

$$W_t^I = B_{t-1}^{S,I} - D_{t-1}^I$$

• Leverage constraint:

$$D_t^I \leq \tilde{\lambda} B_t^{S,I}$$

 $\Phi_A(A_t)$  is a convex cost of issuing equity back

#### Financial Intermediaries Prices

Optimization problem of a representative Financial Intermediary:

$$\max_{A_t, D_t^I, B_t^{S,I}} \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{t,t+k} Div_{t+k}$$
  
s.t.  $Div_t = \tau^I W_t^I - A_t$   
 $(1 - \tau^I) W_t^I + A_t - \Phi_A(A_t) + Q_t^D D_t^I = Q_t^S B_t^{S,I}$   
 $W_t^I = B_{t-1}^{S,I} - D_{t-1}^I$   
 $D_t^I \le \tilde{\lambda} B_t^{S,I}$ 

back

#### Financial intermediaries (back)

The following equations characterize their optimization problem:

$$Q_t^D = \mathbb{E}_t \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{t,t+1} + \mu_t \left( 1 - \Phi'_A(A_t) \right)$$
$$Q_t^S = \mathbb{E}_t \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{t,t+1} + \tilde{\lambda} \mu_t \left( 1 - \Phi'_A(A_t) \right)$$

Where  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{t,t+1}$  is the stochastic discount factor for financial intermediaries, defined as:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{t,t+1} \equiv \mathcal{M}_{t,t+1} \left( 1 - \Phi'_A(A_t) \right) \left( \tau^I + \frac{1 - \tau^I}{1 - \Phi'_A(A_{t+1})} \right)$$

and  $\mu_t$  is the multiplier of the leverage constraint,  $\mu_t \geq 0$ 

#### Pricing equations

From Households' problem

$$Q_t^D = \mathbb{E}_t \mathcal{M}_{t,t+1} + \frac{U_d\left(c_t, \frac{D_t^H}{P_t}, n_t\right) P_t}{U_c\left(c_t, \frac{D_t^H}{P_t}, n_t\right)}$$

$$Q_t^L = \mathbb{E}_t \mathcal{M}_{t,t+1} \left[ \kappa + (1-\delta) Q_{t+1}^L \right] - \Phi_L' \left( \frac{B_t^{L,H}}{P_t b_{L,H}} \right) \frac{1}{b^{L,H}}$$

From Financial Intermediaries:

$$Q_t^D = \mathbb{E}_t \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{t,t+1} + \mu_t \left( 1 - \Phi'_A(A_t) \right)$$
$$Q_t^S = \mathbb{E}_t \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{t,t+1} + \tilde{\lambda} \mu_t \left( 1 - \Phi'_A(A_t) \right)$$



# Market clearing conditions

Goods market

$$c_t + g_t + \frac{\phi_P}{2} (\pi_t - 1)^2 y_t = y_t$$

2 Long-term government debt:

$$B_t^L = B_t^{L,H} + B_t^{L,CB}$$

Short-term government debt:

$$B_t^S = B_t^{S,I} + B_t^{S,CB}$$

Output Deposits

$$D_t^H = D_t^I$$

**(** Labor, capital, and corporate debt

$$n_t = \int_0^1 n_{i,t} di$$

Airaudo, F. S. (UC3M)

I define variables in real terms. First,  $\pi_t = \frac{P_t}{P_{t-1}}$  is the period t inflation rate. The real variables are as follows:

$$w_{t} = \frac{W_{t}}{P_{t}}, b_{t} = \frac{B_{t}}{P_{t}}, b_{t}^{i} = \frac{B_{t}^{i}}{P_{t}}, b_{t}^{i,j} = \frac{B_{t}^{i,j}}{P_{t}}, d_{t}^{j} = \frac{D_{t}^{j}}{P_{t}}, a_{t} = \frac{A_{t}}{P_{t}}, d_{t}^{j} = \frac{MC_{t}}{P_{t}}, d_{t}^{j} =$$

for i = S, L and j = H, CB, I.

Closing the model with policy rules **Back** Rule for QE:

$$b_t^{L,CB} = (1-\rho^{QE})b_*^{L,CB} + \rho^{QE}b_{t-1}^{L,CB} + \sigma^{QE}\epsilon_t^{QE}$$

Taylor rule and fiscal rule for conventional policies:

$$\frac{R_t}{R\left(\xi_t\right)} = \left(\frac{R_{t-1}}{R\left(\xi_t\right)}\right)^{\alpha_R\left(\xi_t\right)} \left[\left(\frac{\pi_t}{\pi^*}\right)^{\alpha_\pi\left(\xi_t\right)} \left(\frac{y_t}{y^*}\right)^{\alpha_y\left(\xi_t\right)}\right]^{1-\alpha_R\left(\xi_t\right)} e^{\sigma_M\left(\xi_t\right)\epsilon_t^M}$$

$$\tau_{t} - \tau^{*} = \rho_{\tau} \left(\xi_{t}\right) \left(\tau_{t-1} - \tau^{*}\right) + \left(1 - \rho_{\tau} \left(\xi_{t}\right)\right) \gamma\left(\xi_{t}\right) \left(b_{t-1} - b^{*}\right)$$

 $\xi_t$  is a discrete shock that controls the regime in place

- Monetary-led regime (M)
- Fiscally-led regime (F)
- **3** ZLB regime

Airaudo, F. S. (UC3M)

#### Functional forms

Real variables: 
$$b_t^{L,H} = \frac{B_t^{L,H}}{P_t}, d_t^H = \frac{D_t^H}{P_t}$$
  
$$U(c_t, d_t^H, n_t) = \frac{\left[c_t^{1-\varphi} \left(d_t^H\right)^{\varphi}\right]^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} - \psi \frac{n_t^{\eta}}{\eta}$$

Portfolio adjustment cost

$$\Phi_L\left(b_t^{L,H}\right) = \frac{\phi_L}{2} \left(\frac{b_t^{L,H}}{b^{L,H}}\right)^2$$

The convex cost of issuing equity is the following:

$$\Phi_A(A_t) = \frac{\chi}{2} \frac{A_t^2}{P_t}$$



Transition probabilities (back)

• Out the ZLB regime:

$$P = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} p_{mm} & 1 - p_{mm} \\ 1 - p_{ff} & p_{ff} \end{array} \right]$$

#### Transition probabilities (back)

• Out the ZLB regime:

$$P = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} p_{mm} & 1 - p_{mm} \\ 1 - p_{ff} & p_{ff} \end{array} \right]$$

• Transition matrix:

$$\mathbf{T} = \begin{bmatrix} (1-\mathbf{q})P & \mathbf{q}[1;1] \\ \mathbf{r}[p_{zm} & (1-p_{zm})] & (1-\mathbf{r}) \end{bmatrix}$$

 $p_{zm}$  is the probability of leaving ZLB towards regime  ${\rm M}$ 

### Transition probabilities (back)

• Out the ZLB regime:

$$P = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} p_{mm} & 1 - p_{mm} \\ 1 - p_{ff} & p_{ff} \end{array} \right]$$

• Transition matrix:

$$\mathbf{T} = \begin{bmatrix} (1-\mathbf{q})P & \mathbf{q}[1;1] \\ \mathbf{r}[p_{zm} & (1-p_{zm})] & (1-\mathbf{r}) \end{bmatrix}$$

 $p_{zm}$  is the probability of leaving ZLB towards regime  ${\rm M}$ 

- Endogenous probability of getting in and out of the ZLB (more
  - Prob. entering ZLB:  $q = f(R_t)$
  - Prob. leaving ZLB:  $r = g(R_t^S)$

 $R_t^S$ : shadow interest rate. Policy rate without ZLB

### Endogenous transition probabilities ZLB (back)



Figure 12: Endogenous transition probabilities to and out the ZLB.

Endogenous transition probabilities ZLB

$$q = P\left(ZLB|\text{No ZLB}\right) = \frac{\exp\left\{-\gamma^q\left(R_t-1\right)\right\}}{1+\exp\left\{-\gamma^q\left(R_t-1\right)\right\}}$$
 with  $\gamma^q < 0$ 

$$r = P \left( \text{No ZLB} | ZLB \right) = \frac{\exp\left\{-\gamma^r \left(R_t^S - 1\right)\right\}}{1 + \exp\left\{-\gamma^r \left(R_t^S - 1\right)\right\}}$$

with  $\gamma^r > 0$ 

 $R_t^S$  is the shadow interest rate, unrestricted by the ZLB

back

### Second-order moments

	$\mathbf{dLn}y_t$	$\mathbf{dLn}c_t$	$\mathbf{dLn}(b_t/y_t)$	Inflation	Term spread	
Standard deviation (in %)						
Data	1.3	1.4	1.7	2.8	1.6	
Model	0.7	0.9	1.5	2.4	1.5	
Correlation with $dLny_t$						
Data	1.00	0.90	-0.33	0.44	-0.11	
Model	1.00	0.79	-0.32	0.27	-0.04	

Table 1: Second order moments in data and model

Note: Growth rates for output, consumption, and debt in the data are quarterly logarithmic differences and demeaned. They are real and per capita. Inflation is the quarterly growth rate of SA CPI, annualized. The term spread is the difference between the annual 10-year treasury yield and the annual federal funds rate. Model moments obtained from a simulation with one million periods. Conditional back

# Calibration I

	Description	Value	Source or target
β	Discount factor	0.996	Jordà, Schularick, and Taylor, 2017
$R^*$	Average interest rate	1.011	Av. Data 1980-2021
$ar{\mu}$	Proportion of long-debt	0.67	Elenev et al., 2021
$\delta$	Maturity parameter	0.0357	Maturity long bonds (7 years)
$\kappa$	Coupon Payment	1	Normalization
$\phi_L$	Portfolio adjustment cost	0.004	10-year yield (1980-2021)
$\sigma$	Risk aversion	2	Standard
$\eta$	Inverse Frisch elasticity	3	Leeper, Leith, and Liu, 2021
$\psi$	Preference parameter	1.339	Normalization labor
$\varphi$	Preference parameter	0.0023	Debt/GDP $\frac{b}{4u} = 68\%$ 1980-2021
$ au^{I}$	Dividends distribution	0.84	Spread T-bill to deposits
$\chi$	Equity cost	22	Elenev et al $(2021)$
$ ilde{\lambda}$	Leverage constraint FI	0.97	Basel regulation
$\phi^P$	Prices adjustment cost	150	Inflation volatility (1980-2021)
$\epsilon$	Elasticity of subst. varieties	7	Markup 17%
$b_*^{L,CB}$	Average CB Balance sheet	0.0140	$\frac{Q^L b_*^{LC,B}}{4y} = 7\% \ 1980\text{-}2021$
$\theta$	Government spending	0.27	Bianchi and Melosi, 2017

#### Table 2: Calibration: model parameters



# Calibration II: Markov switching parameters

	Description	MD	FD	ZLB
$\alpha_R$	Taylor rule	0.86	0.67	0.2
$\alpha_{\pi}$	Taylor rule	1.6	0.64	0
$\alpha_y$	Taylor rule	0.51	0.27	0
$\sigma^M$	Taylor rule	0.0025	0.0025	0.0025/10
R	Taylor rule	$R^*$	$R^*$	1.0005
$\alpha_{R,s}$	Shadow R	-	-	0.86
$\alpha_{\pi,s}$	Shadow R	-	-	1.6
$\alpha_y$	Shadow R	-	-	0.9
$\sigma^{M,s}$	Shadow R	-	-	0.0025
$R^S$	Shadow R	$R^*$	$R^*$	$R^*$
$\gamma$	Fiscal rule	0.0712	0	0
$\alpha_{\tau}$	Fiscal rule	0.96	0.69	0.69

Table 3: Calibration: regime-dependent policy parameters.Bianchi and Melosi (2017, 2022).



### Calibration III: Transition probabilities

Parameter Value		Source or target		
$\gamma^q$	500	Average prob. of ZLB regime		
$\gamma^r$	-200	Average prob. of ZLB regime		
$p_{mm}$	0.9923	Bianchi and Melosi, 2022		
$p_{ff}$	0.9923	Bianchi and Melosi, 2022		
$p_{zm}$	0.7031	Bianchi and Melosi, 2022		

Table 4: Calibration: transition probabilities more

back

# Calibration III: Exogenous processes

Parameter	Description	Value
$\rho_{QE}$	Persistence QE	0.9
$ ho_{ u}$	Persistence preference	0.9
$ ho_z$	Persistence TFP	0.9
$ ho_G$	Persistence gov. spending	0.96
$\sigma_{QE}$	Dispersion QE	0.25/100
$\sigma_{\nu}$	Dispersion preference	0.80/100
$\sigma_z$	Dispersion TFP	0.21/100
$\sigma_G$	Dispersion gov. spending	0.26/100

Table 5: Calibration: exogenous processes

back

#### Bonds: maturity structure

- Long-term bonds pay geometrically decaying coupons, as in Hatchondo and Martinez, 2009.
- A bond  $B_t^L$  issued at time t, pays the sequence of coupons:  $\kappa$ ,  $\kappa(1-\delta)$ ,  $\kappa(1-\delta)^2$ , ..., where  $\kappa > 0$  and  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ .
- $\delta$  controls the debt maturity, where  $\delta = 1$  corresponds to a short term bond, and  $\delta = 0$  represents a consol.
- This maturity specification allows to reduce the number of state variables in the model. A bond issued at j-k is equivalent to  $(1-\delta)^k$  bonds issued at t, and hence the state variable  $B_{t-1}^L$  represents total long-term debt in equivalent newly issued long-term bonds.

backModel

# Fiscal and Monetary policy regimes

Assume a simple Taylor rule:

$$\frac{R_t}{R^*} = \left(\frac{\pi_t}{\pi^*}\right)^{\alpha_\pi}$$

And a fiscal rule for taxes:

$$\tau_t = \tau^* + \gamma \left( b_{t-1} - b^* \right)$$

From Leeper, 1991, Leeper and Leith, 2016, Bianchi, 2013, etc:

• 
$$\alpha_{\pi} > 1$$
 and  $\gamma > \frac{1}{\beta} - 1$ : Monetary dominance

• 
$$\alpha_{\pi} < 1$$
 and  $\gamma < \frac{1}{\beta} - 1$ : Fiscal dominance

• 
$$\alpha_{\pi} > 1$$
 and  $\gamma < \frac{1}{\beta} - 1$ : No stable equilibrium

• 
$$\alpha_{\pi} < 1$$
 and  $\gamma > \frac{1}{\beta} - 1$ : Indeterminacy

back

# Conditional second-order moments

	MD		$\mathbf{FD}$		ZLB	
	Mean	$\mathbf{Std}(\%)$	Mean	$\mathbf{Std}(\%)$	Mean	$\mathbf{Std}(\%)$
Debt to GDP	69%	7.2	78%	3.8	71%	6.0
Inflation	1.02	1.7	1.02	4.0	1.01	2.6
Interest rate $(R)$	1.03	1.5	1.04	2.6	1.00	0.2
Long-run return $(R^L)$	1.04	1.5	1.05	3.1	1.02	1.8

#### Table 6: Data moments conditional on regimes

Note: Data generated moments, from a sample of one million periods. The model is simulated for a long sample where the regime at place is stochastic. Moments at each regime are obtained conditioning the economy being on the corresponding regime at a given period. Debt to GDP is  $\frac{b}{4y}$ , inflation, and returns are annualized. back

- Log-deviations to a 1SD shock in the central bank purchases of long-term bonds ( $\epsilon_t^{QE}$ ), from a path without the shock
- This shock implies increasing the real balance sheet to GDP ratio by 1.3p.p., i.e., increasing from its steady state of 7% to 8.3%
- No regime change, but agents expect the economy to evolve according to the transition matrix



Impact of a QE shock conditional on a regime Note: log deviations (in %) to 1 SD shock in QE ( $\epsilon_t^{QE} = 1$ ) to the counterfactual path without shock ( $\epsilon_t^{QE} = 0$ ).

Exit strategies from QI



Impact of a QE shock conditional on a regime Note: log deviations (in %) to 1 SD shock in QE ( $\epsilon_t^{QE} = 1$ ) to the counterfactual path without shock ( $\epsilon_t^{QE} = 0$ ). Fiscal diagram

Airaudo, F. S. (UC3M)

Exit strategies from QI



Impact of a QE shock conditional on a regime: fiscal variables Note: log deviations (in %) to 1 SD shock in QE ( $\epsilon_t^{QE} = 1$ ) to the the counterfactual path without shock ( $\epsilon_t^{QE} = 0$ ). Diagram back



Impact of a QE shock conditional on a regime: fiscal variables Note: log deviations (in %) to 1 SD shock in QE ( $\epsilon_t^{QE} = 1$ ) to the the counterfactual path without shock ( $\epsilon_t^{QE} = 0$ ).

### The transmission mechanism of QT



Impact of a QT shock conditional on a regime Note: log deviations (in %) to 1 SD shock in QT ( $\epsilon_t^{QE} = -1$ ) to the counterfactual path without shock ( $\epsilon_t^{QE} = 0$ ). DiagramQT

Airaudo, F. S. (UC3M)

Exit strategies from QI

### The transmission mechanism of QT



Impact of a QT shock conditional on a regime Note: log deviations (in %) to 1 SD shock in QT ( $\epsilon_t^{QE} = -1$ ) to the counterfactual path without shock ( $\epsilon_t^{QE} = 0$ ). DiagramQT

Airaudo, F. S. (UC3M)

Exit strategies from QI

#### The crisis Dack

I simulate the model in 50.000 samples of 40 periods under two scenarios, "Baseline" and "Quantitative Easing."

#### Baseline

- The economy is at the approximation point at t=1, and in the Monetary Led regime
- In periods 2-4, the economy is hit by strong negative preference and TFP shocks. From t=5, they follow random paths
- From t=2 onward, the regime at place is stochastic
- QE, monetary policy, and fiscal policy shocks are random

#### QE program

• QE from t=2 that generates an increase in the annualized central bank balance sheet to output of around 10p.p. in the first 6 periods

#### The crisis: the effects of QE (back) Fiscal variables



#### Simulated crisis

Note: Average from 50.000 samples. Annualized variables.

Airaudo, F. S. (UC3M)

Exit strategies from QE

#### The crisis: ZLB regime frequencies (back Exit)



Percentage of simulated samples at the ZLB regime, per period.



#### Exit strategies from QE.

Note: Average from 50.000 samples. Annualized variables. more



Exit strategies from QE.

Note: Average from 50.000 samples. Annualized variables.

•  $\downarrow$  output growth,  $\downarrow$  inflation,  $\uparrow$  public debt

Airaudo, F. S. (UC3M)



Exit strategies from QE.

Note: Average from 50.000 samples. Annualized variables.

•  $\downarrow Q_t^L$ : Wealth and substitution effect:  $\downarrow$  Aggregate Demand



Exit strategies from QE.

Note: Average from 50.000 samples. Annualized variables. more

↓ Q<sup>L</sup><sub>t</sub>: Wealth and substitution effect: ↓ Aggregate Demand
↑ public debt: ↑ debt service, CB capital losses, fiscal deficit

Airaudo, F. S. (UC3M)

Exit strategies from QI
## Exit strategies from Quantitative Easing programs back



Crisis and exit strategies from QE.

Airaudo, F. S. (UC3M)

Exit strategies from QE

Unwinding the central bank balance sheet Monetary-led regime at exit. Plots since t = 8. back



QT in the monetary-led regime



QT in the monetary-led regime

Airaudo, F. S. (UC3M)

# Unwinding the central bank balance sheet Monetary-led regime at exit. Plots since t = 8. Dack



CPI in the monetary-led regime.  $P_8 = 1$ 

Airaudo, F. S. (UC3M)

Exit strategies from QI

Unwinding the central bank balance sheet Fiscally-led regime at exit. Plots since t = 8. back



QT in the fiscally-led regime

#### Unwinding the central bank balance sheet Fiscally-led regime at exit. Plots since t = 8. **back** Output growth Consumption Inflation ( $\pi$ ) Interest rate (R) Long-run



QT in the fiscally-led regime

#### Unwinding the central bank balance sheet Fiscally-led regime at exit. Plots since t = 8. back



CPI in the fiscally-led regime.  $P_8 = 1$ .

Airaudo, F. S. (UC3M)

Exit strategies from QI

### Unwinding the central bank balance sheet

Conditional regime at exit backM backF



Exit strategies from QE, monetary vs. fiscal regime. Note: Subsample conditional on leaving the ZLB toward a regimen and staying there 1 year (4Q).

#### Unwinding the central bank balance sheet

Conditional regime at exit backM backF



#### Unwinding the central bank balance sheet Conditional regime at exit - Regime-switching QE rule backM backF



Note: Conditional on leaving the ZLB toward a regime.