

Gauging Form PF

Data tolerances in regulatory reporting on hedge fund risk exposures

Mark D. Flood (OFR) Joint work with Phillip Monin (OFR) Lina Bandyopadhyay (FRB-Chicago)

2015 RiskLab / BoF / ESRB Conference on Systemic Risk Analytics Helsinki, Finland – 25 September 2015

Views expressed in this presentation are those of the speaker(s) and not necessarily of the Office of Financial Research.



Views and opinions expressed are those of the speaker and do not necessarily represent official OFR positions or policy.

Views expressed in this presentation are those of the speaker(s) and not necessarily of the Office of Financial Research.

Outline

Background on risk measurement for hedge funds

- Why measure hedge fund risks?
- How to measure hedge fund risks?

What is Form PF?

- Structure of Form PF
- Industry summary

Assessing risk measurement under Form PF

- Dispersion of risk under constraints (Form PF report)
- Benchmark funds long-short, market-neutral, equities only
 - Momentum: Buy (sell) stocks with returns in the highest (lowest) quintile
 - Factor-alpha: Buy (sell) stocks in the highest (lowest) alpha quintile

Wrap-up

- Results
- Next steps





3

What is a "hedge fund"?

- Exemption under §3(c)(1) of the 1940 Act: <100 shareholders, no public offerings
- Exemption under §3(c)(7) of the 1940 Act: <2000 "qualified purchasers"

Some history

- Persistent growth over 25 years
- Concerns
 - LTCM collapse
 - Madoff, Stanford scandals
- Systemic risk debate
 - House hearings (Mar/Jul/Oct 2007)
 - House hearings (Jul/Nov 2008)
 - Post-Lehman short-sale ban
- Dodd-Frank Act §404 and Form PF
 - Systemic risk (10)
 - Protection of investors (6)



Image source : FSOC 2014 Annual Report

OFFICE OF FINANCIAL RESEARCH

OFR

How to measure hedge fund risks?

What about non-parametric distributions?

- Value at risk challenges
- Black swans and 25-σ events

Risk-adjusted performance measures

- Goetzmann, et al. (2007)
- Foster and Young (2010)

Window dressing

- Munyan (2014) and Elton (2010)
- Patton and Ramadorai (2013)
- Bollen and Pool (2009)
- Agarwal, et al. (2013)

Dimensionality mismatch

- Output space is infinite
- Regulatory reports are finite



Image source : Wikipedia



Ideally (not reality), regulatory risk reports would form a sufficient statistic, t

- $\mathbf{P}(X \mid t, \Theta) = \mathbf{P}(X \mid t)$ or, equivalently $\mathbf{P}(\Theta \mid t, X) = \mathbf{P}(\Theta \mid t)$
- For example, for $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma)$ has: $\Theta \equiv (\mu, \sigma)$ and $t \equiv (\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma})$

Traditional measurement tolerances

- Measurand has an unobserved true value, R^*
- Measurement produces a noisy observation:

$$\widetilde{R}=R^*+\widetilde{\varepsilon}.$$

• Distribution of $\tilde{\epsilon}$ by repeated experimental observation

Our challenges

- Portfolio risk is multidimensional (VaR, expected shortfall, etc.)
- Form PF measure is fixed no repeated observations

Our approach – constrained maximization of portfolio risk

- Fix a Form PF filing as a vector-valued constraint, \overline{R} ,
- Repeated other measures \widehat{R} for portfolios satisfying \overline{R}
- Dispersion of \widehat{R} quantifies the measurement uncertainty

U.]	$\begin{bmatrix} \overline{R}_1 \end{bmatrix}$
Form PF:	\overline{R}_n
Others:	\widehat{R}_{j}
	$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{R}_N \end{bmatrix}$

Coverage of Form PF:

- AUM
- NAV
- Financing •
- **Derivatives**
- **Concentrations**
- Performance
- Strategy type
- **Counterparties** ۰
- Asset class exposures •
- Liquidity
- Sensitivity analysis
- VaR
- **Investor liquidity**

FOF	RM PH	F (Paper Version)	OMB APPROVAL								
Repo	rting F	orm for Investment Advisers to	Expires: December 31, 2014								
Priva	ite Fun	ds and Certain Commodity Pool	Estimated average burden								
Oper	ators a	and Commodity Trading Advisors	hours per response: 52.88								
Form	PF: G	eneral Instructions	Page 1								
Read prope reject	these in rly comp ed.	structions carefully before completing Form PF. Failure plete Form PF, or pay all required fees may result in you	to follow these instructions, ir Form PF being delayed or								
In the Form SID r the G	se instru PF. If y ather tha lossary (actions and in Form PF, "you" means the <i>private fund ac</i> you are a "separately identifiable department or division" an the bank (except as provided in Question 1(a)). Term of Terms to Form PF.	<i>hviser</i> completing or amending this '(SID) of a bank, ''you'' means the s that appear in <i>italics</i> are defined in								
1.	Who	must complete and file a Form PF?									
	You 1	must complete and file a Form PF, if:									
	А.	You are registered or required to register with the SE	C as an investment adviser;								
		OR									
		You are registered or required to register with the CF also registered or required to register with the SEC as	TC as a CPO or CTA and you are an investment adviser;								
		AND									
	Β.	You manage one or more private funds.									
		AND									
	C.	You and your related persons, collectively, had at lea assets under management as of the last day of your n	ast \$150 million in <i>private fund</i> nost recently completed fiscal year.								
	Many Form be rec advis	r private fund advisers meeting these criteria will be requered will need to file only on an annual basis. Large puired to provide additional data, and large hedge fund a ers will need to file every quarter. See Instructions 3 and set of the every quarter.	nired to complete only Section 1 of private fund advisers, however, will dvisers and large liquidity fund d 9 below.								
	For purposes of determining whether you meet the reporting threshold, you are not required to include the regulatory assets under management of any related person that is separately operated. See Instruction 5 below for more detail.										
	If you Form States States	ar principal office and place of business is outside the Uri PF you may disregard any private fund that, during you s person, was not offered in the United States, and was n s person.	nited States, for purposes of this r last fiscal year, was not a <i>United</i> ot beneficially owned by any <i>United</i>								
2. single	I hav Form I	e a <i>related person</i> who is required to file Form PF. 2 PF?	May I and my <i>related person</i> file a								
	<i>Relati</i> to all	ed persons may (but are not required to) report on a sing such related persons and the private funds they advise.	le Form PF information with respect You must identify in your response								
	Ima										

IOFR

Sections of Form PF

Section	Information about	To be completed by	Question	Regulator
1a	The filer and related persons	All Form PF filers	1-4	SEC & CFTC
1b	Private funds advised	All Form PF filers	5–17	SEC & CFTC
1c	Hedge funds advised	All Form PF filers that advise hedge funds	18–25	SEC & CFTC
2a	Aggregates on hedge funds advised	Large private fund advisers only	26-28	SEC & CFTC
2b	Qualifying hedge funds advised	Large private fund advisers only	29–50	SEC & CFTC
3	Liquidity funds advised	Large private fund advisers only	51-64	SEC only
4	Private equity funds advised	Large private fund advisers only	65–79	SEC only
5	Temporary hardship exemption request	Private fund advisers requesting exemption		SEC only

Image source : OFR analysis



Aggregate hedge-fund exposures to equities and equity derivatives, Dec. 2013

• Investments in listed non-financials dominate

Investment Category	Long value at quarter end (\$ billions)	Short value at quarter end (\$ billions)
Listed equity – Issued by financial institutions	184.3	71.0
Listed equity – Other	1,009.5	485.7
Listed equity derivatives - Related to financial institutions	46.1	26.0
Listed equity derivatives - Other	469.2	349.3
Unlisted equities	128.1	1.6
Derivative exposures to unlisted equities	12.1	7.9
Totals	1,849.3	941.4

Image source : SEC, OFR analysis

Industry summary – II



Number of Form PF filings by date, Q4 2013

• Majority of filings occur at the deadline (log scale)



Image source : SEC, OFR analysis

Industry summary – III



Aggregate hedge fund AUM as of Dec 2013, by fund strategy

- Equity strategies
- Event driven
- Relative value
- Macro
- Managed futures
- Credit
- Other



Industry summary – IV



Aggregate hedge fund AUM as of Dec 2013, by fund strategy

- Equity long/short dominates
 - 24.31 percent of AUM
- "Other" strategies are a very large component
 - 17.60 percent of AUM

Fund Strategy	Aggregate \$AUM (\$ billions)	Percent	Number of Fund- strategies
Equity, Long/Short	995.0	24.31%	1482
Other	720.4	17.60%	1772
Relative Value, Fixed Income Sovereign	318.5	7.78%	221
Equity, Long Bias	303.5	7.42%	1008
Credit, Long/Short	294.5	7.20%	726
Macro, Global Macro	284.9	6.96%	454
Event Driven, Distressed/Restructuring	217.7	5.32%	729
Equity, Market Neutral	193.8	4.74%	250
Relative Value, Fixed Income Asset Backed	137.1	3.35%	390
Event Driven, Equity Special Situations	112.7	2.75%	356
Investment in other funds	76.0	1.86%	650
Relative Value, Fixed Income Corporate	67.8	1.66%	310
Event Driven, Risk /Merger Arbitrage	66.5	1.63%	221
Managed Futures/CTA, Quantitative	65.7	1.61%	183
Macro, Commodity	61.2	1.50%	215
Relative Value, Fixed Inc. Convert. Arbitrage	56.5	1.38%	183
Credit, Asset Based Lending	35.3	0.86%	252
Relative Value, Volatility Arbitrage	34.4	0.84%	127
Macro, Currency	34.3	0.84%	157
Managed Futures/CTA, Fundamental	8.2	0.20%	62
Equity, Short Bias	6.2	0.15%	46
Macro, Active Trading	2.4	0.06%	31
Totals	4092.4	100.0%	9,825

Image source : SEC, OFR analysis

How much can actual risk vary, given a fixed picture on Form PF?

Measure the actual risk of simulated funds (N = 100,000)

- Hedge funds: equities-only, long-short, market-neutral
- Filing Form PF on 12/31/2013
- \$500M in capital, \$450M to invest
- Cash equities only (CRSP), no derivatives
- Fixed leverage

Two separate market-neutral strategies

- *Momentum screen*: Buy 25 (sell 20) stocks with returns in highest (lowest) quintile
- Factor-alpha: Buy 25 (sell 20) stocks in highest (lowest) Fama-French alpha quintile
- Cash collateral for shorts earns zero interest; no short rebate
- Shorts are equally weighted; choose weights for longs so that the total portfolio:
 - satisfies the "PF constraint"
 - remains beta- and dollar-neutral.

Form PF Question 40 (value at risk)

- With VaR constraint
- Without VaR constraint



Constraints on Form PF fields

Our funds complete only a subset of all Form PF questions

Form PF question	Description	Value
8	Gross asset value	\$950 million
9	Net asset value	\$500 million
12(a)	Dollar amount of total borrowings	\$450 million
13	Derivatives positions?	No
14	Level 1 Assets	\$950 million
	Level 1 Liabilities	\$450 million
19	Strategy category	Single primary strategy
20	Investment strategy	Equity, market neutral
26	Listed equity, issued by financial institutions LV	0
	Listed equity, issued by financial institutions SV	0
	Listed equity, other listed equity LV	\$450 million
	Listed equity, other listed equity SV	\$450 million
32	Liquidity – 1 day or less	100
35	Positions >5% NAV	N.A.
40	Value at risk (VaR)	$0.995 \le 1$ -day, 5%, parametric VaR < 1.005
41	Other risk metrics	ES, worst day, vol, skewness
42	Risk factors: Equity prices increase 5%	0
	Risk factors: Equity prices decrease 5%	0
	Risk factors: Equity prices increase 20%	0
	Risk factors: Equity prices decrease 20%	0
43(b)(i)(A)	Cash collateral posted with prime broker	\$500 million
43(b)(i)(B)	Securities collateral posted with prime broker	\$450 million
44	Aggregate derivatives	N.A.

OFFICE OF FINANCIAL RESEARCH

Results – Factor-alpha strategy

Distributions of risk measures, factor-alpha strategy (N=100K)



OFFICE OF FINANCIAL RESEARCH

UFR

Results – Momentum strategy

Distributions of risk measures, momentum strategy (N=100K)



Tolerance ratios – normalized measurement errors

• TR1: Maximum scaled by median

$$TR_{1} = \frac{\max(Risk_{j})}{\operatorname{med}(Risk_{j})} = \frac{\operatorname{pct}_{100}(Risk_{j})}{\operatorname{pct}_{50}(Risk_{j})}$$

• TR2: Min-max range scaled by median

$$TR_{2} = \frac{\max(Risk_{j}) - \min(Risk_{j})}{\operatorname{med}(Risk_{j})} = \frac{\operatorname{pct}_{100}(Risk_{j}) - \operatorname{pct}_{0}(Risk_{j})}{\operatorname{pct}_{50}(Risk_{j})}$$

• TR3: Interquartile range scaled by median

$$TR_{3} = \frac{\operatorname{pct}_{75}(Risk_{j}) - \operatorname{pct}_{25}(Risk_{j})}{\operatorname{pct}_{50}(Risk_{j})}$$

OFFICE OF FINANCIAL RESEARCH

Distribution Summary – with VaR constraint



				Fac	ctor alp	ha stra	tegy			Momentum strategy										
Risk measure	Avg	<u>StD</u>	Min	25%	50%	75%	Max	TR1	TR2	TR3	Avg	<u>StD</u>	Min	25%	50%	75%	Max	TR1	TR2	TR3
Value at Risk, hist. sim.	0.94	0.03	0.77	0.92	0.94	0.96	1 09	1 16	0.34	0.05	0.96	0.03	0.74	0.93	0.96	0.98	1 1 1	1 16	0.38	0.05
5% over 1 trading day																				
1% over 1 trading day	1.58	0.09	1.23	1.51	1.57	1.63	2.03	1.29	0.51	0.08	1.55	0.09	1.22	1.49	1.55	1.61	2.06	1.33	0.54	0.07
Value at Risk, hist, sim.																		4.07		
5% over 5 trading days	1.84	0.13	1.34	1.75	1.83	1.92	2.39	1.31	0.57	0.09	2.08	0.13	1.55	1.99	2.08	2.16	2.64	1.27	0.52	0.08
Value at Risk, hist. sim.	3.03	0.28	2.01	2.84	3.01	3.20	4.96	1.64	0.98	0.12	3.34	0.31	2.38	3.12	3.31	3.53	5.42	1.64	0.92	0.12
Value at Rick parametric																				
5% over 1 trading day	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.01	0.01	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.01	0.01	0.01
Value at Risk, parametric	1.46	0.01	1.42	1.45	1.46	1.46	1 40	1.02	0.02	0.01	1.43	0.01	1.40	1.42	1.42	1.44	1.46	1.02	0.04	0.01
1% over 1 trading day	1.40	0.01	1.40	1.45	1.40	1.40	1.40	1.02	0.05	0.01	1.45	0.01	1.40	1.45	1.45	1.44	1.40	1.02	0.04	0.01
Value at Risk, parametric	1.95	0.10	1.55	1.89	1.95	2.02	2.42	1.24	0.44	0.07	2.16	0.10	1.72	2.09	2.16	2.22	2.60	1.21	0.41	0.06
Value at Risk, parametric				0.00	0.07								0.50				0.74			
1% over 5 trading days	2.98	0.13	2.46	2.89	2.97	3.06	3.61	1.21	0.39	0.06	3.14	0.12	2.59	3.06	3.14	3.22	3.71	1.18	0.36	0.05
Expected shortfall	1 29	0.04	1 1 1	1.26	1.29	1.31	1 46	1 1 4	0.28	0.05	1.28	0.04	1.06	1.25	1.28	1.31	1 48	1 16	0.33	0.04
5% over 1 trading day																				
1% over 1 trading day	1.92	0.12	1.46	1.84	1.92	2.00	2.52	1.31	0.55	0.09	1.88	0.13	1.43	1.79	1.87	1.96	2.58	1.37	0.61	0.09
Expected shortfall	2.40	0.19	1.74	2 37	2.49	2.61	2 20	1.36	0.66	0.10	2.77	0.10	2.11	2.64	2.76	2.80	2.62	1 3 2	0.55	0.00
5% over 5 trading days	2.49	0.10	1./4	2.31	2.40	2.01	5.59	1.50	0.00	0.10	2.11	0.19	2.11	2.04	2.70	2.09	5.05	1.52	0.55	0.09
Expected shortfall	3.67	0.42	2.46	3.38	3.63	3.91	6.52	1.80	1.12	0.15	4.06	0.51	2.70	3.70	3.99	4.35	7.63	1.91	1.23	0.16
Volatility																				
Annualized	10.6	0.12	10.1	10.5	10.6	10.7	11.1	1.05	0.09	0.02	10.0	0.16	9.43	9.97	10.0	10.1	10.7	1.07	0.13	0.02
Skewness 1 trading dav	0.15	0.18	-0.69	0.03	0.14	0.26	1.67	12.3	17.4	1.71	0.10	0.19	-4.42	-0.02	0.10	0.22	1.86	19.2	64.9	2.43
Excess kurtosis	1.04	0.80	0.16	1 30	1.90	2 2 2	19.6	10.3	10.2	0.52	1.07	1.05	0.21	1 39	1 70	2.34	79.6	43.9	43.7	0.54
1 trading day	1.94	0.00	0.10	1.59	1.00	2.55	10.0	10.5	10.2	0.52	1.97	1.05	0.21	1.50	1./9	2.54	/0.0	45.0	43.7	0.54
Lower <u>semivol</u> Annualized	7.40	0.12	6.74	7.32	7.40	7.49	7.95	1.07	0.16	0.02	7.06	0.14	6.44	6.97	7.06	7.15	8.05	1.14	0.23	0.03
Worstloss 1 tradius day	2.88	0.47	1.70	2.55	2.82	3.14	6.70	2.38	1.77	0.21	2.84	0.51	1.63	2.48	2.75	3.10	10.9	3.98	3.38	0.23
Worstloss	5.04	1.02	0.70	4.54	5.07	5.01	11.0	0.24	1.70		5.02	1.04	2.00	4.02	5.40	6.54	14.0	0.00	0.24	
5 trading days	5.24	1.03	2.79	4.51	5.07	5.81	11.8	2.54	1./9	0.26	5.85	1.24	3.09	4.95	5.62	6.51	16.2	2.89	2.54	0.28
Worst loss Monthly	5.53	1.60	1.09	4.40	5.36	6.48	18.5	3.46	3.26	0.39	6.87	1.72	2.29	5.67	6.62	7.77	19.6	2.97	2.62	0.32

Image source : OFR analysis

OFFICE OF FINANCIAL RESEARCH

Views expressed in this presentation are those of the speaker(s) and not necessarily of the Office of Financial Research.

Distribution Summary – no VaR constraint

				Fac	tor alp	ha strat	egy			Momentum strategy										
Risk measure	Avg	<u>StD</u>	Min	25%	50%	75%	Max	TR1	TR2	TR3	Avg	<u>StD</u>	Min	25%	50%	75%	Max	TR1	TR2	TR3
Value at Risk, hist. <u>sim</u> . 5% over 1 trading day	1.07	0.12	0.73	0.99	1.06	1.14	1.97	1.86	1.17	0.15	0.99	0.11	0.68	0.91	0.97	1.04	1.81	1.86	1.16	0.13
Value at Risk, hist. sim. 1% over 1 trading day	1.81	0.23	1.15	1.65	1.79	1.95	3.72	2.08	1.44	0.17	1.63	0.23	1.02	1.47	1.60	1.76	3.57	2.24	1.60	0.18
Value at Risk, hist. sim. 5% over 5 trading days	2.12	0.29	1.31	1.91	2.08	2.28	4.61	2.21	1.59	0.18	2.15	0.28	1.36	1.96	2.12	2.30	4.74	2.24	1.60	0.16
Value at Risk, hist. sim.	3.51	0.54	2.00	3.13	3.45	3.82	8.26	2.40	1.82	0.20	3.60	0.70	1.94	3.11	3.46	3.95	9.61	2.78	2.22	0.24
Value at Risk, parametric	1.14	0.12	0.80	1.05	1.12	1.20	2.30	2.06	1.34	0.13	1.06	0.14	0.74	0.96	1.03	1.14	2.10	2.05	1.33	0.18
Value at Risk, parametric	1.65	0.17	1.17	1.53	1.63	1.74	3.33	2.04	1.32	0.13	1.52	0.20	1.06	1.38	1.47	1.64	3.01	2.05	1.33	0.18
Value at Risk, parametric	2.25	0.29	1.43	2.05	2.22	2.42	4.77	2.15	1.51	0.17	2.29	0.34	1.52	2.05	2.23	2.49	5.17	2.32	1.64	0.19
Value at Risk, parametric	3.40	0.41	2.23	3.11	3.35	3.64	7.09	2.12	1.45	0.16	3.33	0.47	2.26	3.00	3.24	3.60	7.32	2.26	1.56	0.19
Expected shortfall 5% over 1 trading day	1.47	0.17	0.95	1.35	1.45	1.57	2.84	1.96	1.30	0.15	1.34	0.16	0.89	1.22	1.31	1.42	2.67	2.03	1.35	0.15
Expected shortfall 1% over 1 trading day	2.22	0.30	1.33	2.01	2.19	2.40	4.77	2.18	1.57	0.18	2.04	0.37	1.19	1.78	1.95	2.23	5.08	2.61	2.00	0.23
Expected shortfall 5% over 5 trading days	2.88	0.40	1.75	2.60	2.83	3.11	6.61	2.33	1.71	0.18	2.96	0.49	1.71	2.61	2.87	3.23	6.98	2.43	1.84	0.22
Expected shortfall 1% over 5 trading days	4.27	0.73	2.18	3.76	4.17	4.68	10.3	2.47	1.95	0.22	4.82	1.70	2.33	3.71	4.22	5.10	13.9	3.29	2.74	0.33
Volatility Annualized	11.9	1.17	8.67	11.1	11.8	12.5	23.8	2.02	1.29	0.12	10.6	1.37	7.37	9.68	10.3	11.4	21.2	2.04	1.33	0.17
Skewness 1 trading dav	0.12	0.22	-1.29	-0.02	0.10	0.24	3.62	35.9	48.7	2.55	-0.37	1.21	-6.30	-0.12	0.06	0.20	5.00	80.0	181	5.10
Excess kurtosis 1 trading day	2.22	1.36	0.28	1.49	1.94	2.56	47.7	24.5	24.4	0.55	9.27	17.8	0.21	1.48	2.06	3.31	125	60.8	60 .7	0.89
Lower <u>semivol</u> Annualized	8.35	0.83	5.89	7.76	8.25	8.83	15.7	1.91	1.19	0.13	7.59	1.14	5.20	6.78	7.26	8.14	14.7	2.03	1.31	0.19
Worst loss 1 trading day	3.36	0.71	1.68	2.87	3.26	3.73	13.6	4.20	3.68	0.27	4.32	3.29	1.42	2.54	2.96	3.76	16.4	5.57	5.09	0.42
Worst loss 5 trading days	6.15	1.47	2.65	5.10	5.91	6.93	17.2	2.92	2.47	0.31	7.33	3.50	2.65	5.05	6.06	7.90	22.0	3.64	3.20	0.47
Worst loss Monthly	6.60	2.19	1.32	5.04	6.27	7.79	23.8	3.81	3.59	0.44	7.43	2.34	2.26	5.79	6.97	8.54	27.9	4.00	3.68	0.39

Image source : OFR analysis

OFFICE OF FINANCIAL RESEARCH

Views expressed in this presentation are those of the speaker(s) and not necessarily of the Office of Financial Research.

Conclusions



Precision of Form PF as a risk-measurement instrument

Novel portfolio simulation methodology

- Measure dispersion of actual risks
- Form PF fields as a vector-valued constraint
- Form PF has broad risk-measurement tolerances
 - Significant "wiggle room"
 - Could obscure reporting funds' actual risks

Extending the methodology

- Incorporate options and possibly other derivatives
 - Form PF accounts for options using delta-adjusted notional values
- Possible option strategies
 - Capital Decimation Partners
 - Buying and selling volatility with option straddles
 - Compare:
 - Long stock + Long OTM put
 - Long stock + Short OTM put



Thank you!

OFFICE OF FINANCIAL RESEARCH